PAG Meeting #1 Agenda

- Introductions, Agenda Check, Operating Guidelines
- Overview of Scope and Decisions
- Regulatory Overview
- Water Banking Policy, Framework Summary
- Open Discussion
- Decision-Making
- Closing, Expectations for PAG Meeting #2
PAG Operating Guidelines

- Equal representation & participation
- Consensus desired, but not required
- Representation of individual organizations expected
- Collaborative problem solving
- Respectful communication
Our Approach

- Understand stakeholder goals
- Assemble water bank information - pros/cons
- Screen data for WRIA 55 applicability
- Introduce options to PAG
- Evaluate water bank seeding options
- Develop water demand and market analysis
- Vet detailed analysis with PAG - preferred alternative development
- Finalize report and summarize next steps
WRILA 55 PAG Workplan

Meeting 1 (October 15, 2014):
- Accept operating guidelines
- Understand regulations/risk
- Define banking preferences
- Agree on demand approach

Meeting 2 (January 21, 2014):
- Define bank size
- Determine seeding options
- Determine data gaps
- Determine market conditions

Meeting 3 (May 27, 2015):
- Review bank pros/cons
- Confirm data gaps
- Advisory vote to move forward on further implementation
Technical Memorandums

- Prior to PAG Meeting 1:
  - Legal, Regulatory, and Policy Framework
- Prior to PAG Meeting 2:
  - Streamflow and Water Transfer Framework
  - Future Water Demand Evaluation
  - Potential Availability of Water Rights
- Prior to PAG Meeting 3:
  - Water Market Evaluation
  - Draft Feasibility Report and Implementation Plan
Regulatory Overview—Why Water Banking in WRIA 55?

- 1976 Instream Flow Rule (WAC 173-555)
  - Is not met in most water years
  - Closed tributaries
  - Created interruptible rights
  - Has uncertainty with respect to groundwater

- Increased County legal availability responsibility

- New clarity from Courts on rule interpretations
Regulatory Overview

- 1975 WRIA 55 Basin Plan
- 1976 Instream Flow Rule (WAC 173-555)
- Domestic Exemption Uncertainty
- State / County Planning / Permitting Authority
- Impairment Guidance
The WRIA 55 PAG is not expected to resolve regulatory uncertainty.

The WRIA 55 PAG is convened to determine whether a water bank would provide a planning and permitting tool in spite of and to manage regulatory uncertainty.
Regulatory Overview—Basin Plan

- 1975 Basin Report
- Basis for WAC 173-555
- Provides clarifying guidance beyond rule
- Uncertainty regarding influence today
CHAPTER 173–555 WAC
WATER RESOURCES PROGRAM IN THE
LITTLE SPOKANE RIVER BASIN, WRIA 55

WAC
173–555–060  Streams and lakes closed to further consumptive appropriations.
173–555–100  Regulation review.

Last Update: 6/9/88
Regulatory Overview—WAC 173-555

- Baseflows for 4 Stations
- Reserve of surface water
- Tributary closures, except domestic and stock
- Spokane Rule Amendments
Regulatory Overview—Permit Exemption

- Stockwater (no limit)
- Non-commercial lawn/garden (1/2 acre)
- Single or group domestic (5,000 gpd)
- Industrial use, including irrigation (5,000 gpd)
- All exemptions apply to a single project (but can include multiple wells)
Regulatory Overview—
State / County Planning/Permit Authority

- County and State have shared regulatory authority
  - County has increased responsibilities in permitting and planning, Ecology in advisory role
- Adequate legal and physical availability required for county permitting
- Ownership of adjacent parcels a factor in defining a project
Regulatory Overview—Impairment Guidance

- Instream flows are a right with priority based on establishment by rule.
- A reduction in instream flow may be impairment.
- Base flows should consider the functions and values behind the base flow numbers.
- OCPI

2011 WDFW Instream Atlas
Questions for Ecology and AG’s office

- Does WAC 173-555 apply to groundwater?
- Does the 1975 WRIA 55 Basin Plan affect the rule?
- Can the water bank provide new appropriations in closed tributary basins?
- Can the bank be managed in subareas based on the stream gages or on a more localized basis?
- Can a suite of mitigation options be part of the bank?
Questions on Regulatory Overview
Distill the wide ranging options for water banks (regulatory, structural, operational, financial, legal, and political) into a focused recommendation endorsed by the PAG and appropriate for WRIA 55.
What is a Water Bank?

Water banks redistribute water right authority between sellers and buyers.

**Supply**

- Sellers: Water right holders
- Projects: Retime available water

**Banking Functions**

- Certifies validity of water rights
- Business rules for bank
- Establishes pricing
- Marketing
- Regulatory interaction

**Demand**

- Buyers: Mitigation for new uses
- Reliability for existing uses
Why are Water Banks Formed?

- To mitigate for out-of-priority use
- To mitigate for new uses
- In response to regulatory pressure
- Because the rules of water banking can be more favorable than conventional transfers
- For profit
- For transaction efficiency
- For instream objectives
Types of Water Banks

- Public
- Private
- Quasi-Government / NGO
- One (Seller) to Many (Buyer)
- One (Seller) to One (Buyer)
# Water Banks Pricing and Transaction Summary

## Table 5: Summary of Cost of Water for Public/Private Water Banks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Cost of Water/Unit</th>
<th>Cost/acre-foot</th>
<th>Units Transacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$580</td>
<td>$1,290</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quasi-Government/NGO</th>
<th>Cost of Water/Unit</th>
<th>Cost/acre-foot</th>
<th>Units Transacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$7,350</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Cost of Water/Unit</th>
<th>Cost/acre-foot</th>
<th>Units Transacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$5,620</td>
<td>$54,345</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$131,200</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Water Bank Pricing Summary

- Insert figure 9, inset the pie chart from figure 10 in upper right as well.
Water Bank Transaction Summary

- **Public**: 230
- **Quasi-Government/NGO**: 60
- **Private**: 700

Little Spokane Water Bank
## County Departments Potentially Affected by Water Banking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stevens County</strong></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditors</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pend Oreille</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditors</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spokane County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Planning</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditors</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spokane Regional Health District</strong></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Water Bank Business Rules

- Who to serve (Purpose? Existing? New?)
- Where to serve (All? Mainstem? Tributary?)
- Units of measurement (Total use? Consumptive use?)
- Unit size (indoor only, lawn size)?
- Pricing?
- Marketing (Voluntary? Required? Phased?)
- Any seeding restrictions?
Water Bank Business Rules

- Who to serve (Purpose? Existing? New?)
- Where to serve (All? Mainstem? Tributary?)
- Units of measurement (Total use? Consumptive use?)
- Unit size (indoor only, lawn size)?
- Pricing?
- Marketing (Voluntary? Required? Phased?)
- Any seeding restrictions?

Answers to these questions help define how much water and where it is needed to seed a bank.
Open Discussion
Meeting 1 (October 15, 2014):
- Accept operating guidelines
- Understand regulations/risk
- Define banking preferences
- Agree on demand approach

Meeting 2 (January 21, 2014):
- Define bank size
- Determine seeding options
- Determine data gaps
- Determine market conditions

Meeting 3 (May 27, 2015):
- Review bank pros/cons
- Confirm data gaps
- Advisory vote to move forward on further implementation
Evaluation Using Demand Model

- Powerful tool already available that enables “what-if” scenarios
- Can be readily expanded to Stevens and Pend Oreille portions of WRIA 55
- Customized water use estimates by sector and geography

*Stevens and Pend Oreille County areas will need to be added.*
1. Identify water use sectors of interest for water bank feasibility analysis.

2. Consider:
   - How might demand drive interest in water bank?
   - How might water bank change the characteristics of water uses?
Stevens County Population Growth

2000 Census Block Population Density

Population per square mile:
- 0-25
- 20-50
- 51-75
- 76-100
- 101-250
- 251-500
- 501-750
- 751-1000
- 1000-2000
- 2000+

2010 Census Block Population Density
Pend Oreille County Population Growth

2000 Census Block Population Density

2010 Census Block Population Density

Little Spokane Water Bank
Questions?