

Recommendation	Feasibility Score	Impact Score
5.2 (1) Technological improvements in court and jail	3.4	3.8
5.3 (4) Expand Crisis intervention teams across all local law enforcement agencies	3.3	3.8
5.4 (1) Use of functional risk/needs assessment tool and proper intake screening	2.3	2.6
5.4 (2) Create 24hr intake facility	3	3
5.5 (2) Collaborative Efforts Should be undertaken to avoid unnecessary court hearings	3.2	3.4
5.5 (4) The Court, Prosecution, and Defense should collaborate to eliminate mandatory court appearances of defendants for all hearings except for trials and sentencing hearings	3.7	3.8
5.5 (5) Trial courts should minimize issuance of warrants, arrests, and incarceration for non-payment of LFOs and should make use of alternative sanctions to substitute for payment of LFOs as deemed appropriate	3.5	3.2
5.5 (6) Develop a process by which technical probation violations are resolved by sanctions which are swift and certain, but not lengthy	3.2	3
5.5 (8) The Language and spirit of Washington State Superior Court Rule 3.2 should be the basis of pretrial release decisions.	3.3	2.8
5.5 (10) Conduct independent evaluation of all Therapeutic Court models	3.5	2.4
5.5 (11) Explore legislation that removes requirements and have the effect of unfunded mandates on local jurisdictions	1.2	1.6

5.6 (1) City and County Prosecuting Attorney's Offices should provide disclosure to Defense Counsel immediately upon receipt from law enforcement agencies via centrally-based secure computer system and appropriate software.	3.5	3
5.6 (2) Spokane County should develop a driving while license suspended diversion and relicensing program	2.8	3.4
5.6 (3) Spokane County should commission an independent evaluation of the Spokane County Superior Court Early Case Resolution (ECR) program	2.7	2.0
5.6 (4) Spokane County should make specific modifications to ECR Program based on differentiated case management best practices	2	2.6
5.6 (5) Adult Drug Court prosecutor should review program admissibility standards	3.7	3.2
5.7 (1) & (2) Implementation of Efficient communication between defense lawyers and jailed clients	4	3.8
5.7 (3) Quick and easy meeting area access should be established for use by attorneys visiting inmates when a face-to-face visit is desired.	3.3	3.0
5.8 (4) Ensure proper classification and identification of specialized populations	3.3	3.0

*Feasibility was evaluated based on the following factors: degree of planning required, political/ public support for the initiative, financial investments required to launch, and financial investments required to sustain the initiative.

*Impact was evaluated based on the following factors: jail population, potential financial savings and/or other efficiencies, enhancing perceived and experienced integrity of the system, and level to which racial/ethnic disparities countered.