

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

2015



July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016

Prepared by the Spokane County Community Services, Housing and Community Development Department
312 West Eighth Avenue
Spokane, WA 99204



Adopted by the Spokane County Board of County Commissioners
on September 13, 2016



Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	2
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	7
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	12
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	14
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	16
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j).....	17
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230.....	22
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)	24
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d).....	25
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	28
PR 23 HOME Summary of Accomplishments 2015.....	29
PR 26 CDBG Financial Summary.....	31
Revolving Loan Fund Interest Earned and Remitted Letter.....	37
BoCC signed resolution adopting CAPER	38

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This report is for year one of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. It is the first year that the report is in IDIS. Considerable progress was made towards meeting goals and objectives in year one. Since this is first year the CAPER will report in IDIS, a couple of glitches were discovered in the ConPlan tool. Specifically, the PS3 goal was overstated and PS2 goal understated in 2015 AAP. It was manually corrected in these tables to reflect the actual progress.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete
Affordable Housing H5	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1500	144	9.60%
Affordable Housing H6	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	10	3	30.00%
Affordable Rental Housing H1	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	150	20	13.33%
Affordable Rental Housing H2	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	25	0	0.00%
Affordable Rental Housing H3	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	0	0	
Affordable Rental Housing H3	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%

Affordable Rental Housing H4	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	40	0	0.00%
Area Revitalization AR1	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50000	9005	18.01%
Area Revitalization AR1	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted		0	
Area Revitalization AR2	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	5	0	0.00%
Area Revitalization AR3	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	324	
Area Revitalization AR3	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	2	0	0.00%
Economic Development ED1	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	0	0	
Economic Development ED1	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	30	128	426.67%
Public Service PS1	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	500	0	0.00%
Public Service PS2	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50000	35826	71.65%
Public Service PS3	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	20000	5060	25.30%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Central in the development of the Spokane County Consolidated Plan were consultations with stakeholders and a needs assessment with citizens. This provided information regarding local conditions, a report of identified needs, and the basis for annual funding objectives that were used to establish current high priority needs. Information contained in the Needs Assessment was obtained through comments, surveys, reports, and submittals of information from the general public, organizations, and local governments. Identified needs provide guidance for project selection in future year’s funding. These needs also facilitated the establishment of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Affordable Housing Program (AHP) goals and objectives, which became an integral part of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. To guide the department in the furtherance of its mission statement, and in meeting HUD’s statutory goals and objectives, the department has adopted the following guiding principles.

1. **Priority to Lowest-Income** - Ensure the needs of people with the lowest-income are given priority consideration.
2. **Basic Support** – Encourage the focus of public service resources on essential basic needs.
3. **Citizen Participation** - Provide opportunities for all public to participate in plan development, implementation, and evaluation.
4. **Collaboration** - Encourage public, private, and non-profit sectors collaboration and reduce program duplication.
5. **Emphasize the Potential** - Build upon available community assets, resources, plans and market forces.
6. **Leverage** - Leverage limited resources by promoting partnership between organizations.
7. **Measurable Results** - Produce and evaluate measurable outcomes and results.
8. **Comprehensive** - Engage comprehensive strategies to address the holistic needs of a neighborhood, household, or individual.

When developing CDBG and/or HOME Funding Policies and Request for Proposals, applicants are required to address the goals and objectives in the Consolidated Plan, identify which High Priority Need(s) is being met, as well as demonstrate their adherence to the Consolidated Plan Guiding Principles. Staff then conducts an assessment, ensuring the application meets statutory requirements, is for an eligible activity, and addresses a high priority need within the plan. Once an application meets this threshold criteria, it is then reviewed by the Housing and Community Development Advisory Committee, which makes funding recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners. Once funding recommendations are approved, an Annual Action Plan is developed and submitted to HUD. Subrecipient agreements are developed, and the activity starts. This process ensures that CDBG funds address the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME
White	34,554	21
Black or African American	1,567	9
Asian	214	4
American Indian or American Native	1,524	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	384	0
Total	38,243	34
Hispanic	2,721	0
Not Hispanic	35,522	34

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Generally, the above table corresponds with the racial and ethnic demographics of Spokane County.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		5,000,000	851,335
HOME		2,000,000	60,754

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

See section in this CAPER regarding leveraging and Match requirements of these funds.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Spokane County does not have any Target Areas. Area wide benefit infrastructure projects were accomplished in low/mod municipalities of Rockford, Latah, Cheney, and certain census tracts in City of Spokane Valley.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Federal funds traditionally leverage substantial additional resources. The amount of leverage an applicant proposes to provide is taken into consideration regarding funding recommendations.

No publicly owned land or properties were used to meet matching requirements. Matching requirements were met by using bond financing, as well as local document recording fees. Local document recording fees, from the county Affordable Housing Trust Fund, contributed \$2.4 million cash to two separate affordable housing projects.

Excess match from prior federal fiscal year, as a result of a HUD monitoring, was actually a negative number (3,626.37). The box on line 1 would not allow for a negative number, thus, the match contributed number in row two is \$2,470,211.25, which is the \$2,473,837.62 - \$3,626.37.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	2,470,211
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	2,470,211
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	295,350
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	2,174,861

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Buder Haven	01/16/2015	1,200,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,200,000
HOME 2011-25-1001	08/06/2011	0	0	0	0	0	36,919	36,919
HOME 2012-22-1036	07/01/2012	0	0	0	0	0	36,919	36,919
West 315	01/20/2015	1,200,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,200,000

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
125,589	156,995	60,708	0	221,876

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through rental assistance		
Number of households supported through the production of new units		
Number of households supported through the rehab of existing units		
Number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units		
Total		

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Considerable progress was made towards meeting goals and objectives in year one.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Considerable progress was made towards meeting goals and objectives in year one.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	91	13
Low-income	53	10
Moderate-income	0	0
Total	144	23

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

Considerable progress was made towards meeting goals and objectives in year one, and although it appears that HOME Affordable Rental Housing goals were not met, there are several hundred housing units ready to come online in the next program year.

Homeless households were specifically targeted using local document recording fees, not CDBG or HOME. Over a hundred new units were constructed and are currently leased up by using these local fees. Additionally, hundreds more have benefitted from using these fees for rapid re-housing, short term rental assistance.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Spokane County participates in the Spokane City / Spokane County Continuum of Care. That CoC is currently undergoing a transformation and restructure, and will soon be governed by a CoC Governance Board. This board will oversee all CoC monies within Spokane County, as well as coordinate and align its priorities with the other funding streams serving this population through the City of Spokane.

Spokane County has made the decision to not address homelessness with CDBG public service funds since it has access to Homeless Housing Assistance Act dollars, which are a result of document recording fees collected from the county. These dollars are specific to homelessness and have proved crucial in the jurisdiction's progress in meeting objectives for reducing and ending homelessness.

Currently Spokane County administers a state funded Consolidated Homeless Grant which serves specifically unsheltered persons with rental assistance in a rapid re-housing model. A Housing Stability Plan is created and linked to the rental assistance, providing a plan that assesses their individual needs as they strive for self-sufficiency.

For several years, Spokane County has chosen to pass through their HEN entitlement to the City of Spokane to administer in conjunction with the funds that they receive for this program. The program serves clients eligible for Department of Social and Health (DSHS) Medical Care Services (MCS). HEN may provide assistance with rent and utility payments, personal health and hygiene items, cleaning supplies, and bus passes.

Spokane County is one of three pilot communities for a unique service-only grant called Bringing Recovery into Diverse Groups through Engagement & Support (BRIDGES) which is funded via a partnership through the federal Substance Abused Mental Health Services Administration and the state Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery (DBHR) agency. The project benefits individuals who experience behavioral health disorders and chronic homelessness by facilitating access to evidence Based Permanent Supportive Housing and Supported Employment through engagement and support. BRIDGES utilizes Peer Navigators to assist with enrollment in Medicaid and mainstream benefits and connects individuals with treatment services. Spokane County sub-contracts these services to a local non-profit housing/social service organization.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Spokane County has made the decision to not address homelessness with CDBG public service funds since it has access to Homeless Housing Assistance Act (HHAA) dollars, which are a result of document recording fees collected from the county. These dollars are specific to homelessness and have proved crucial in the jurisdiction's progress in meeting objectives for reducing and ending homelessness. Since virtually all shelters and transitional housing continue to be located within the City of Spokane, these agencies are funded through HHAA, based in part, on the percentage of county versus city clients served. Additional funding for the shelters and transitional living units are accomplished, competitively, through the Spokane City / Spokane County Continuum of Care.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Spokane County Community Services, Housing, and Community Development Department, through its participation in the Spokane City / County Continuum of Care as well as with its state and local document recording fee dollars, strives to help low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless. Currently, a re-entry program for persons exiting publicly funded institutions is continuing. This program started in 2009 and has continued for seven years, providing rental assistance to persons who otherwise would have become homeless upon exiting institutions.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Spokane County Community Services, Housing, and Community Development Department addresses this transition in several different manners. Many of our rental subsidy programs, which assist youth, chronically homeless, veterans, and persons exiting systems of care, include a housing stability plan. This plan incorporates focus areas of physical health, substance abuse, mental health, family relationships, housing, employment, financial status, and educational objectives. The goal of all of the plans is to lead the client to self-sufficiency. Additionally, every shelter and/or transitional housing provider is measured with a goal of shortening the period of time the family experiences homelessness, as well as facilitating the movement from shelter to permanent housing.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Spokane County also maintains a good working relationship with Spokane Housing Authority (SHA) and continues to support its goals in providing decent and safe affordable housing to low income, disabled, elderly, and vulnerable populations. SHA annually provides housing assistance to over 5,000 low-income families in five Eastern Washington counties through a combination of tenant based rental assistance, SHA owned apartment communities and scattered-site public housing. A six member Board of Commissioners governs SHA. The appointing authorities include The Mayor of the City of Spokane, The Mayor of the City of Spokane Valley and the Board of County Commissioners.

One recent action taken to address needs of public housing is occurring this program year as SHA was funded competitively for an activity which rehabilitated five 1-Bedroom Units and six 2-Bedroom Apartments in their Valley 206 Complex to be fully ADA Accessible.

SHA submitted a public housing portfolio application to participate in HUD's Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program and received approval to move forward with the program in early 2015. The RAD program will provide SHA with an avenue to convert its public housing units to housing voucher assistance and recapitalize the Parson's Apartments. In addition, SHA's RAD Plan includes the sale of its 75 scattered-site houses and duplexes; shifting the resulting rental assistance to other units within SHA's portfolio and utilizing the net sale proceeds to leverage additional affordable housing development.

In the interim, SHA is vigilant at keeping occupancy rates above 95%. With available capital, the needs for funding the continued maintenance of units are met. SHA prepares a 5-year capital improvement plan based on projected annual funding and will continue to make needed repairs until the RAD conversion is completed.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

To encourage the residents to become more involved with management, the PHA Annual Plan is available for review at the Public Housing leasing office during its public review time period. In addition, SHA periodically meets with residents to obtain feedback in order to make management improvements.

SHA does not currently have a specific program for public housing residents to participate in homeownership; however in 2015, the SHA Board adopted a Local Preference for admissions to the Housing Choice Voucher Program for applicants who have resided in Public Housing for at least one year, who are in good standing, and who qualify for the Section 8 Homeownership Program. This provides an avenue for residents of public housing who may be interested in becoming homeowners but who may need financial assistance.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable – Spokane Housing Authority is not a troubled public housing authority.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

While housing costs in Spokane County are lower than many others in Washington, new development and redevelopment is now hindered by lack of available land, the cost of infrastructure and construction in general, and the understandable response of builders to market opportunities.

Spokane County has anticipated and responded to potential barriers and will continue to do so. The County will identify and, where appropriate, remove or mitigate circumstances that complicate provision of affordable housing resulting from zoning, subdivision, land use requirements, licensing, permits and utility extensions.

In updating the Comprehensive Plan, the County will review the Land Use and Housing elements to consider population growth forecasts, land supply information and affordable housing needs, resources, goals and policies with an eye to increasing affordable housing. The County will continue to improve zoning rules and development standards through the code maintenance process which may assist in increasing opportunities for affordable housing.

Spokane Housing Authority recently advocated and worked closely with Spokane Valley for zoning regulations to reduce the cost of developing low-income housing and include development incentives.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CSHCD continues its partnership with the City of Spokane's Human Services Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) in an effort to help identify needs and trends for the homeless population. Through HMIS the CSHCD Department is able to evaluate the effectiveness of the available homeless services and to identify the need for additional services by location. A Point in Time (PIT) Count of Homeless is conducted annually in January and results are reported as a region to the Washington State Department of Commerce. CDBG has not been used to serve homeless populations since 2011 when the department opted to use local funds to address homeless needs, freeing more CDBG funds for other high priority public service goals.

CSHCD works with local governmental departments, private agencies, not-for-profit organizations and citizens to raise awareness of obstacles encountered by special needs populations. These activities include, but are not limited to, the Fair Housing Spring Training, Spokane Homeless Coalition and the Spokane City / Spokane County Continuum of Care.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

This section in the printed word document of the CAPER states it is for actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. However, in the IDIS CAPER section it states it is for actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs, the same as the section above. With that said, inserted herein are the actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards.

Childhood lead poisoning is a major environmental health problem in the United States, especially for low income families in poor living conditions. If not detected early, children with high levels of lead in their bodies can suffer from damage to the brain and nervous system, behavioral and learning problems (such as hyperactivity), slowed growth, hearing problems, and headaches. To prevent lead poisoning in young children, Spokane County has taken several steps to address this issue.

Lead-based paint was used on many housing structures built prior to 1978. Approximately 81% of the homes in Spokane County were built prior to 1978; therefore the majority of the area's housing could be impacted by the hazards of lead-based paint. The use of lead based paint was prolific prior to 1940, translating to 6,086 units or just 7% of the jurisdiction's housing units, having a high likelihood of lead-based paint.

Spokane County continues to strengthen coordination of public and private efforts in preventing lead-based paint poisoning and reducing lead-based paint hazards. The City of Spokane oversaw the local Lead Safe Spokane Program and received a Healthy Homes Grant through HUD as well as a State Lead Hazard Control Grant. Although the Lead Safe Spokane program ended in program year 2015, Spokane County continues to assess and require remediation of units prior to using any funds for rental assistance, including state and local funds.

Disclosure requirements are triggered for ALL properties constructed prior to 1978. These requirements require that lessors (property owners or managers) provide tenants with:

- Disclosure form for rental properties disclosing the presence of known and unknown lead based paint;
- A copy of the "Protect Your Family from Lead in the Home" pamphlet.

Spokane County uses formal public records, such as tax assessment records, to establish the age of a unit. If the property was constructed before 1978 and a child under the age of six will be living in the unit, then a visual assessment is conducted before any federal, state, or local funds are made available. Visual assessments are conducted by a HUD Certified Visual Assessor. If a visual assessment reveals problems with paint surfaces, Spokane County cannot approve the unit for rent assistance until the deteriorating paint has been repaired. At that point, we work with the property owner/manager to complete needed paint stabilization activities and clearance, or work with the household to locate a different unit in order for assistance to be provided.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During 2015, CSHCD took the following actions in regards to HOME and CDBG funds:

- Focused on community education efforts that strengthen the link between housing and economic development and service providers
- Strengthened local, state and federal housing resources
- Strengthened not-for-profit agencies by increasing their ability to meet the County's affordable housing needs
- Continued to create opportunities for more permanent affordable rental housing serving very low and extremely low income households, particularly for those with special physical/mental needs
- Continued to preserve existing affordable housing through acquisition and rehabilitation.

Another source of funds used by Spokane County to preserve affordability in housing is the Spokane County Affordable Housing Trust Fund or 2060 fund. In 2002, the Washington State Legislature passed a bill that charged a ten-dollar document-recording fee when documents are recorded. This fee will continue to be used to address affordable housing related issues. Several such projects have recently been funded, in part, with these fees. Recently completed since 2013 are several service-enriched complexes which are homes for chronically homeless men and women. Currently under construction are several other apartment complexes which will also serve poverty-level families.

Additionally, using state and local funding streams, several pilot projects are underway using rapid rehousing models with an adherence to a housing stability plan. The families are provided counseling and financial assistance with help locating suitable housing that meets their needs in terms of size, condition, and affordability. The assistance and supportive services to program participants are provided by using a progressive engagement approach, helping them maintain current suitable housing and/or avoiding displacement or eviction.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The institutional structure of Spokane County remains sound. Collaboration is the Department's strategy for successful CDBG and HOME projects with an emphasis on relationships, leverage, technical assistance, and cooperation among all involved.

There are good working relationships among the municipalities, state agencies, and local organizations involved with housing and community development related services. The exchange of information and technical assistance has resulted in a more effective service delivery system. The County has strived to work with the City of Spokane to approach challenges on a regional basis. Two examples are the regionalization (merging) of the Continuum of Care (homeless federal funds) and Housing and Essential Needs (HEN) Washington State Funds. The City and County also share information and data used in respective Consolidated Plans as well as use the same HMIS system. With less funds each year and requirements growing we must combine efforts and will continue to look for ways to streamline provision of funds to the local public service agencies.

Spokane County will assist where possible in coordinating the development and planning capacity in the County, particularly in the County cities and towns. Additional technical and financial assistance in the area of economic development planning will be offered to increase capacity toward becoming economically self-sustaining in these areas.

The process of Urban Requalification occurred in early 2014 and will start again in 2017. All twelve cities

and towns within Spokane County opted into the Urban Consortium. The City of Spokane has its own entitlement and is not part of the Urban Consortium.

Spokane County also maintains a good working relationship with Spokane Housing Authority (SHA) and continues to support its goals in providing decent and safe affordable housing to low income, disabled, elderly, and vulnerable populations. SHA annually provides housing assistance to over 5,000 low-income families in five Eastern Washington counties through a combination of tenant based rental assistance, SHA owned apartment communities and scattered-site public housing. A six member Board of Commissioners governs SHA. The appointing authorities include The Mayor of the City of Spokane, The Mayor of the City of Spokane Valley and the Board of County Commissioners.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County has established a number of cooperative partnerships and collaborations with public and supportive housing providers, private and government health, local government, mental health and social service agencies to address our priority needs and will continue to do so. Examples below.

Multi-family Housing: The County works closely with the City of Spokane and Washington State Department of Commerce to coordinate the funding and development of multi-family housing units funded through the County’s Affordable Housing Program.

Spokane County partners with the City of Spokane, Northwest Fair Housing Alliance, The Washington State Human Rights Commission, Spokane Area Realtors and the Spokane Low Income Housing Consortium by providing Fair Housing Conferences annually.

Participation in the Spokane Homeless Coalition, the Continuum of Care Advisory Committee and Greater Spokane Valley Network to keep information flowing on ways to help homeless or to prevent homelessness.

Currently working with several school districts serving the homeless needs. Each household that receives rental assistance is required to adhere to a housing stability plan. This plan incorporates focus areas of physical health, substance abuse, mental health, family relationships, housing, employment, financial status, and most important – student educational objectives. The households meet with the Housing Services Coordinator monthly. Elementary teachers of the participating students also report every other week via a web-based survey tool. By design, the comments and reports are sent to SNAP and are addressed at the next monthly meeting. These reports allow us to measure social and academic progress.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Community Services, Housing, and Community Development (CSHCD) endeavors to affirmatively further fair housing. Some of the actions taken to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing are described below:

- All Subrecipients are required to certify that they will affirmatively further fair housing in their agreements with Spokane County.
- All Subrecipients are provided Fair Housing Posters and required to have them posted on site.
- Annual Fair Housing Training is provided to the community with a coordinated team of representatives from the Spokane Housing Authority, HUD Fair Housing, Spokane County, the City of Spokane, Northwest Fair Housing Alliance, City of Coeur D’ Alene, Idaho and the Washington State Human Rights Commission.

- The Fair Housing logo is required on documents, such as advertisements or newsletters, used by CDBG and HOME Subrecipients or Spokane County Community Services, Housing, and Community Development.
- Spokane Housing Authority and the Landlord Association of the Inland Northwest also provide annual and monthly workshops about Fair Housing. Free training is available on a daily basis at SHA as landlords become housing providers for the local housing authority. The Landlord Association also assists members as needed with information and resources related to fair housing.
- Continuing education has been determined to be the most effective way to keep Fair Housing in the forefront of community's awareness.

CSHCD is currently reviewing HUD's "new rule" regarding Fair Housing requirements for jurisdictions to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing. Along with the Fair Housing rule is an assessment tool created by HUD. The tool is in the public comment period at the time of this writing. The tool will provide jurisdictions with data to analyze in preparation of an Assessment of Fair Housing. Spokane County is required to use the current Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing until the required update is due; 270 days prior to the next Consolidated (five year) Plan. The tool is meant to help Spokane County understand the following indicators for Fair Housing:

- Housing and neighborhood quality
- Education in neighborhoods
- Economic health in neighborhoods
- Economic development
- Mobility and transportation
- Health and environment

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The Department assesses the effectiveness of monitoring policies and practices annually. Prior to the program year, a risk analysis is conducted to determine where each activity will fall in the three risk categories of high, medium or low, and a monitoring schedule is developed. The activities with a high risk rating and all new activities are scheduled for on-site monitoring annually. On-site monitoring involves entrance and exit interviews; file review, verification and documentation of performance data; interviews with key staff; physical inspections; and written documentation outlining any concerns or findings.

At the beginning of the program year the department holds a workshop for Subrecipients and each is given a Project Management Manual entitled *Playing By the Rules; A Handbook for CDBG Subrecipients on Administrative Systems*. This guide is provided as reference to help organizations properly conduct federally funded projects.

Spokane County as the grantee prepares a written Subrecipient Agreement, which outlines the contractual obligations and reporting responsibilities of the subrecipient. Quarterly, data is entered into the federal IDIS database.

Minority Business Outreach - Spokane County Community Services, Housing, and Community Development Department is committed to a good faith, comprehensive and continuing effort to promote the inclusion of minority and women's business enterprises in the HOME Program and all other housing programs under its auspices.

Its intent is to establish and oversee a minority outreach program to ensure the inclusion, to the maximum extent possible, of minorities and women, and entities owned by minorities and women, including without limitation, real estate, construction and appraisal firms, management firms, financial institutions, underwriters, accountants, investment banking firms and providers of legal services, and all contracts entered into by the County for the procurement of property and services to provide affordable housing under applicable Federal law.

Spokane County will take all necessary affirmative steps to assure that minority firms and women's business enterprises are used when possible.

Comprehensive Planning Requirements - Cities and towns in Spokane County have updated their comprehensive plans and have implemented zoning and subdivision regulations which are consistent with the county-wide Comprehensive Plan. This department routinely follows and comments on

comprehensive planning changes. All applications submitted for funding considerations must be in conformance with the jurisdictions Comprehensive Plans in order to receive funding.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Following public notice was published on August 14, 2016 in the Spokesman-Review.

Spokane County's *2015 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)* summarizes the use of federal resources provided by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), covering the period beginning July 1, 2015 and ending on June 30, 2016. Federal resources include Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds.

Prior to submitting the *2015 CAPER* to HUD for review, the report must be available to the public for an examination and comment period, August 15, 2016 through August 29, 2016. A summary of public comments regarding the county's activities, priority needs, strategies, objectives, and accomplishments will be recorded and incorporated in the *CAPER*, including comments regarding the county's performance in carrying out CDBG and HOME programs, as required under 24 CFR Part 570--CDBG Program and 24 CFR Part 92 -- HOME Program.

The *CAPER* is also used for the purpose of 1) Evaluating the Spokane County Housing and Community Development Division's accomplishments in supporting local strategies and in achieving priority housing and community development goals that are consistent with both the county's *2015-2019 Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan* and *2015 Annual Action Plan*; 2) Providing HUD with information in meeting statutory requirements and assessing the county's ability in carrying out relevant federal programs, in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations in providing information for HUD's Annual Report to Congress; 3) Providing residents, community groups, and others interested in the county's community development process and how Spokane County's use of federal resources are meeting local housing and community development objectives.

Spokane County Community Services, Housing, and Community Development Department has determined that the reported accomplishments are consistent with both the *Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan* and the *2015 Annual Action Plan's* high-priority housing and community development objectives, subject to 24 CFR Part 91.

If you would like a copy of the report or a summary of performance and achievements, please contact the Spokane County Community Services, Housing, and Community Development Department, 312 West 8th Avenue, 4th Floor, Spokane, WA 99204 or call (509) 477-2588. A draft of the *CAPER* is also available at www.spokanecounty.org/communitysvcs/HCD under department documents.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

There were no changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

HOME Investment Partnerships Program on-site compliance monitoring was conducted at the following project sites: Village Apartments/Woodruff Heights/Hidden Pines; East Valley Crossing; Sprague Senior Housing; IERR Valley Home; IERR Duplexes; IERR Valley Duplexes; Airway Pointe Family; Airway Pointe Senior; Arrowleaf Village; Riverwalk Point I & II; St. Ann's Triplex; Clare House; Elm Street Apartments, Rockwell Apartments, Birch Tree Apartments; Appleway Court I & II; 55th Avenue Apartments; Broadwing Apartments; and Catherine Johnson Court.

Two HOME projects warranted concern ratings. These projects were given a timeframe to address the concerns. All Concerns were adequately resolved in a timely manner.

In Program Year 2015, the Department performed HQS Inspections on 9 of the Rental Housing projects. A minimum of 20% of the units were inspected at each of the projects scheduled to have inspections. A total of 43 HQS inspections were conducted. 4 units failed the initial inspection and several units received "pass with comment" ratings. The owners were given 30 days to complete the repairs and schedule a re-inspection. Repairs were completed in a timely manner and the identified failed units met the HQS requirements at the time of re-inspection. Nearly 100% of the "pass with comment" items were also repaired during this timeframe.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

In accordance with 24 CFR § 92.351, HOME Investment Partnership Program Rule, and in furtherance of Spokane County's commitment to non-discrimination and equal opportunity in housing, Spokane County has established policies and procedures to affirmatively market HOME assisted housing under the HOME Investment Partnership Program. These policies are intended to further the objectives of Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1969, and Executive Order 116063.

Spokane County is committed to the principle that all individuals should have available to them an equal opportunity for housing choices regardless of their race, color, religion, familial status, sex, national origin or handicap.

Spokane County will carry out its Affirmative Marketing Policy through this affirmative marketing plan,

which is designed specifically for its HOME Partnership Program. Procedures for informing subrecipients, the public, potential tenants, and property owners about fair housing and affirmative marketing policies, and the availability of vacant units are included in the Policy. The Plan also includes procedures for special outreach to encourage access to HOME assisted housing by minorities and women.

In addition, the Plan describes methods to assess the success of affirmative marketing efforts and includes measures to take corrective action if desired results are not achieved. Records will be kept of all affirmative marketing activities, assessments and corrective measures taken.

Property Owner Requirements, Procedures and Practices

In accordance with 24 CFR §92.253(e)(4), property owners will be required to follow approved written tenant selection policies which provide for the selection of tenants from a written waiting list in the chronological order of their application, insofar as is practicable. Tenant selection policies will further require owners to provide prompt written notification to any rejected applicant of the grounds for any rejection. Notwithstanding these tenant selection policy requirements, the following procedures and requirements must be met by all participating owners, and subrecipients as applicable:

Prior to receiving financial assistance approval from the County HOME Partnership Program, property owners will be required to sign a statement certifying commitment to abide by the County's affirmative marketing policies. This written policy will be provided, accompanied by a verbal explanation;

Application materials used by owners for marketing housing opportunities will be required to include a statement regarding the County's fair housing policies and the equal housing logo;

All advertisements used by owners to market the housing vacancies and availability, or waiting list opportunities will be required to exhibit the Fair Housing Logo and/or a statement regarding Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity; and

Property owners may be required to notify Spokane Housing Authority and other prescribed community organizations of the availability of housing for occupancy, waiting list opportunities emphasizing the HOME Program's commitment to Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

See attachment PR 23 HOME Summary of Accomplishments for Program Year 2015.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Another source of funds used by Spokane County to preserve affordability in housing is the Spokane County Affordable Housing Trust Fund or 2060 fund. In 2002, the Washington State Legislature passed a bill that charged a ten-dollar document-recording fee when documents are recorded. This fee will continue to be used to address affordable housing related issues. Several such projects have recently been funded, in part, with these fees. By committing these funds early in the process, (Spokane County awarded \$2,654,000 to three projects from the 2014 competitive RFP), these projects received additional funding from the state. The County investment of AHTF (2060) funds into the rehabilitation project leveraged approximately \$1,246,000 in other funds. The investment of AHTF (2060) funds into the two new construction projects was instrumental in those projects receiving LIHTC awards through the WSHFC and leveraged approximately \$17,600,000 in other funds. The projects included a multifamily rehabilitation and two multifamily new construction projects. Work began at the end of the 2014 program year and the beginning of the 2015 program year. In total 48 units received a moderate level of rehabilitation and 87 new affordable units were created.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	SPOKANE COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	010205078
EIN/TIN Number	916001370
Identify the Field Office	SEATTLE
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2015
Program Year End Date	06/30/2016

Attachment

PR 23 HOME Summary of Accomplishments 2015

	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System HOME Summary of Accomplishments Program Year: 2015	DATE: 09-20-16 TIME: 17:05 PAGE: 1
---	---	--

SPOKANE COUNTY
Home Disbursements and Unit Completions

Activity Type	Disbursed Amount	Units Completed	Units Occupied
Rentals	\$450,000.00	20	20
First Time Homebuyers	\$90,000.00	3	3
Total, Rentals and TBRA	\$450,000.00	20	20
Total, Homebuyers and Homeowners	\$90,000.00	3	3
Grand Total	\$540,000.00	23	23

Home Unit Completions by Percent of Area Median Income

Activity Type	Units Completed			
	0% - 30%	31% - 50%	Total 0% - 60%	Total 0% - 80%
Rentals	12	8	20	20
First Time Homebuyers	1	2	3	3
Total, Rentals and TBRA	12	8	20	20
Total, Homebuyers and Homeowners	1	2	3	3
Grand Total	13	10	23	23

Home Unit Reported As Vacant

Activity Type	Reported as Vacant
Rentals	0
First Time Homebuyers	0
Total, Rentals and TBRA	0
Total, Homebuyers and Homeowners	0
Grand Total	0



SPOKANE COUNTY

Home Unit Completions by Racial / Ethnic Category

	Rentals		First Time Homebuyers	
	Units Completed	Units Completed - Hispanics	Units Completed	Units Completed - Hispanics
White	17	2	1	0
Black/African American	1	0	1	0
Asian	0	0	1	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0	0	0
Total	20	2	3	0

	Total, Rentals and TBRA		Total, Homebuyers and Homeowners		Grand Total	
	Units Completed	Units Completed - Hispanics	Units Completed	Units Completed - Hispanics	Units Completed	Units Completed - Hispanics
White	17	2	1	0	18	2
Black/African American	1	0	1	0	2	0
Asian	0	0	1	0	1	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	1	0
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0	0	0	1	0
Total	20	2	3	0	23	2

PR 26 CDBG Financial Summary



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2015
 SPOKANE COUNTY, WA

DATE: 09-15-16
 TIME: 18:24
 PAGE: 1

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	737,655.50
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,355,287.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	133,952.84
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	2,226,895.34

PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,210,965.77
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	1,210,965.77
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	336,724.06
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	1,547,689.83
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	679,205.51

PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	1,210,965.77
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	1,210,965.77
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	100.00%

LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS

23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: PY: PY:
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%

PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	209,966.03
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	209,966.03
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,355,287.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	148,030.32
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	1,503,317.32
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	13.97%

PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	336,724.06
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	38,876.09
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	297,847.97
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,355,287.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	133,952.84

44	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45	TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	1,489,239.84
46	PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	20.00%

: 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LIN

Report returned no data.

: 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LIN

Report returned no data.

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	voucher Number	Activity Name	Match Code	Drawn Amount
2014	15	1086	5850557	Town of Rockford WWTF Rehabilitation	(LMA	\$638.25
2014	15	1086	5870315	Town of Rockford WWTF Rehabilitation	(LMA	\$80,131.32
2014	15	1086	5946448	Town of Rockford WWTF Rehabilitation	(LMA	\$5,367.94
2015	14	1115	5875546	Cheney Road and Water Improvements	(LMA	\$139,051.67
2015	14	1115	5946710	Cheney Road and Water Improvements	(LMA	\$10,100.49
2015	15	1116	5927896	Latah Well House Replacement	(LMA	\$4,712.26
2015	15	1116	5936843	Latah Well House Replacement	(LMA	\$84,211.67
2015	15	1116	5946710	Latah Well House Replacement	(LMA	\$83,431.68
2015	16	1117	5948740	Town of Rockford WWTF Rehabilitation	(LMA	\$127,195.71
						(Matri \$534,840.99
2014	13	1096	5850557	City of Spokane Valley Sprague/Long Sidewalk Improvements	(LMC	\$25,731.00
2014	13	1096	5875546	City of Spokane Valley Sprague/Long Sidewalk Improvements	(LMC	\$236,063.00
2015	17	1113	5946710	City of Spokane Valley Seth Woodard Elementary Sidewalk	(LMA	\$19,241.83
						(Matri \$281,035.83
2015	2	1102	5853894	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$1,409.60
2015	2	1102	5870405	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$4,350.30
2015	2	1102	5883998	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$1,409.60
2015	2	1102	5924340	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$1,441.11
2015	2	1102	5927896	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$5,712.00
2015	2	1102	5936843	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$1,411.56
2015	2	1102	5946710	New Hope Resource Center	(LMC	\$2,305.83
2015	5	1105	5853894	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$2,476.00
2015	5	1105	5860048	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5870405	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5875546	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5883998	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5897628	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5924340	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5927896	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$2,476.00
2015	5	1105	5936843	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5946710	Cheney Outreach Center	(LMC	\$1,241.00
2015	7	1109	5853061	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$6,224.00
2015	7	1109	5860048	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$3,112.00
2015	7	1109	5870405	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$2,812.00
2015	7	1109	5893818	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$6,224.00
2015	7	1109	5924340	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$3,112.00
2015	7	1109	5927896	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$5,576.00
2015	7	1109	5936843	The Greenhouse Community Center	(LMC	\$300.00
2015	8	1110	5853061	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$1,188.00
2015	8	1110	5860048	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5870405	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5893818	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$1,188.00
2015	8	1110	5924340	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5927896	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$1,782.00
2015	8	1110	5936843	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5946710	Medical Lake Community Outreach	(LMC	\$601.00
2015	10	1111	5853061	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$2,414.27

2015	10	1111	5870405	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,207.18
2015	10	1111	5893818	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$4,822.90
2015	10	1111	5897628	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,217.66
2015	10	1111	5924340	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,218.26
2015	10	1111	5927896	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$2,612.07
2015	10	1111	5938508	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,214.01
2015	10	1111	5946710	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,021.65
					(Matri	\$83,122.00
2015	6	1106	5853061	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$5,848.42
2015	6	1106	5860048	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,851.52
2015	6	1106	5883998	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,789.75
2015	6	1106	5893818	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$5,508.58
2015	6	1106	5897628	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,085.52
2015	6	1106	5924340	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$3,066.32
2015	6	1106	5927896	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,822.91
2015	6	1106	5936843	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$3,026.98
2015	9	1107	5853061	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$2,119.32
2015	9	1107	5860048	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$1,352.75
2015	9	1107	5883998	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$760.46
2015	9	1107	5893818	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$2,076.29
2015	9	1107	5897628	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$893.15
2015	9	1107	5924340	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$726.75
2015	9	1107	5927896	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$71.28
2015	11	1108	5853061	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,375.09
2015	11	1108	5860048	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$770.94
2015	11	1108	5883998	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$231.69
2015	11	1108	5893818	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,235.38
2015	11	1108	5897628	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$705.88
2015	11	1108	5927896	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,565.93
2015	11	1108	5936843	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,226.14
2015	11	1108	5946710	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$388.95
					(Matri	\$43,500.00
2015	3	1103	5853061	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$2,423.56
2015	3	1103	5860048	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$817.73
2015	3	1103	5870405	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$576.76
2015	3	1103	5893818	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$2,739.51
2015	3	1103	5897628	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,333.22
2015	3	1103	5924340	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,458.22
2015	3	1103	5927896	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,504.62
2015	3	1103	5936843	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,133.43
2015	3	1103	5946710	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,044.98
					(Matri	\$13,032.03
2015	1	1099	5853061	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$1,690.75
2015	1	1099	5860048	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$3,391.95
2015	1	1099	5875546	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$2,996.41
2015	1	1099	5883998	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$3,322.58
2015	1	1099	5893818	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$5,329.65
2015	1	1099	5927896	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$8,965.88
2015	1	1099	5936843	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$3,272.06
2015	1	1099	5946710	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$11,342.72
					(Matri	\$40,312.00
2015	4	1104	5853894	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$4,953.09
2015	4	1104	5860048	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,893.53
2015	4	1104	5870405	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$3,023.52
2015	4	1104	5883998	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,564.95
2015	4	1104	5893818	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,317.18
2015	4	1104	5924340	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,546.98
2015	4	1104	5927896	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$7,436.30
2015	4	1104	5936843	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,347.07
2015	4	1104	5946710	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$1,917.38

2015	12	1112	5853061	Emergency Housing Repair	Matri	\$30,000.00
2015	12	1112	5860048	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$1,427.09
2015	12	1112	5883998	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$177.73
2015	12	1112	5893818	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$21,070.14
2015	12	1112	5893818	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$17,307.75
2015	12	1112	5897628	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$8,708.19
2015	12	1112	5924340	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$12,643.62
2015	12	1112	5927896	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$26,559.44
2015	12	1112	5936843	Emergency Housing Repair	LMH	\$28,106.04
					Matri	\$116,000.00
2015	13	1114	5860048	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$8,682.79
2015	13	1114	5870405	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$4,293.36
2015	13	1114	5883998	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$3,878.03
2015	13	1114	5893818	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$3,492.43
2015	13	1114	5924340	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$3,745.22
2015	13	1114	5927896	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$3,140.04
2015	13	1114	5936843	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$3,214.63
2015	13	1114	5938508	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$3,463.11
2015	13	1114	5946710	Microenterprise Assistance	LMC	\$863.39
2015	13	1118	5860048	Microenterprise Revolving Loan Fund	LMC	\$15,000.00
2015	13	1118	5938508	Microenterprise Revolving Loan Fund	LMC	\$19,349.92
					Matri	\$69,122.92
Total						\$1,210,965.77

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Fund	Drawn Amount
2015	2	1102	5853894	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$1,409.60
2015	2	1102	5870405	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$4,350.30
2015	2	1102	5883998	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$1,409.60
2015	2	1102	5924340	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$1,441.11
2015	2	1102	5927896	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$5,712.00
2015	2	1102	5936843	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$1,411.56
2015	2	1102	5946710	New Hope Resource Center	LMC	\$2,305.83
2015	5	1105	5853894	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$2,476.00
2015	5	1105	5860048	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5870405	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5875546	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5883998	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5897628	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5924340	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5927896	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$2,476.00
2015	5	1105	5936843	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,238.00
2015	5	1105	5946710	Cheney Outreach Center	LMC	\$1,241.00
2015	7	1109	5853061	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$6,224.00
2015	7	1109	5860048	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$3,112.00
2015	7	1109	5870405	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$2,812.00
2015	7	1109	5893818	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$6,224.00
2015	7	1109	5924340	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$3,112.00
2015	7	1109	5927896	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$5,576.00
2015	7	1109	5936843	The Greenhouse Community Center	LMC	\$300.00
2015	8	1110	5853061	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$1,188.00
2015	8	1110	5860048	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5870405	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5893818	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$1,188.00
2015	8	1110	5924340	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5927896	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$1,782.00
2015	8	1110	5936843	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$594.00
2015	8	1110	5946710	Medical Lake Community Outreach	LMC	\$601.00
2015	10	1111	5853061	Spokane Valley Partners	LMC	\$2,414.27

2015	10	1111	5870405	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,207.18
2015	10	1111	5893818	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$4,822.90
2015	10	1111	5897628	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,217.66
2015	10	1111	5924340	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,218.26
2015	10	1111	5927896	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$2,612.07
2015	10	1111	5938508	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,214.01
2015	10	1111	5946710	Spokane Valley Partners	(LMC	\$1,021.65
					(Matri	\$83,122.00
2015	6	1106	5853061	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$5,848.42
2015	6	1106	5860048	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,851.52
2015	6	1106	5883998	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,789.75
2015	6	1106	5893818	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$5,508.58
2015	6	1106	5897628	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,085.52
2015	6	1106	5924340	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$3,066.32
2015	6	1106	5927896	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$2,822.91
2015	6	1106	5936843	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Valley	(LMC	\$3,026.98
2015	9	1107	5853061	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$2,119.32
2015	9	1107	5860048	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$1,352.75
2015	9	1107	5883998	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$760.46
2015	9	1107	5893818	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$2,076.29
2015	9	1107	5897628	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$893.15
2015	9	1107	5924340	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$726.75
2015	9	1107	5927896	Deer Park Senior Nutrition Site	(LMC	\$71.28
2015	11	1108	5853061	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,375.09
2015	11	1108	5860048	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$770.94
2015	11	1108	5883998	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$231.69
2015	11	1108	5893818	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,235.38
2015	11	1108	5897628	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$705.88
2015	11	1108	5927896	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,565.93
2015	11	1108	5936843	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$1,226.14
2015	11	1108	5946710	Spokane Valley Meals on Wheels - Cheney	(LMC	\$388.95
					(Matri	\$43,500.00
2015	3	1103	5853061	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$2,423.56
2015	3	1103	5860048	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$817.73
2015	3	1103	5870405	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$576.76
2015	3	1103	5893818	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$2,739.51
2015	3	1103	5897628	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,333.22
2015	3	1103	5924340	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,458.22
2015	3	1103	5927896	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,504.62
2015	3	1103	5936843	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,133.43
2015	3	1103	5946710	YWCA Valley ADV Program	(LMC	\$1,044.98
					(Matri	\$13,032.03
2015	1	1099	5853061	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$1,690.75
2015	1	1099	5860048	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$3,391.95
2015	1	1099	5875546	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$2,996.41
2015	1	1099	5883998	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$3,322.58
2015	1	1099	5893818	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$5,329.65
2015	1	1099	5927896	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$8,965.88
2015	1	1099	5936843	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$3,272.06
2015	1	1099	5946710	Sexual Assault & Family Trauma Response Center	(LMC	\$11,342.72
					(Matri	\$40,312.00
2015	4	1104	5853894	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$4,953.09
2015	4	1104	5860048	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,893.53
2015	4	1104	5870405	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$3,023.52
2015	4	1104	5883998	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,564.95
2015	4	1104	5893818	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,317.18
2015	4	1104	5924340	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,546.98
2015	4	1104	5927896	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$7,436.30
2015	4	1104	5936843	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$2,347.07
2015	4	1104	5946710	Second Harvest Emergency Food Program	(LMC	\$1,917.38

	Matri	\$30,000.00
Total		\$209,966.03

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matri	Drawn Amount
2014	20	1079	5855222	2014 CDBG Program Administration	:	\$30,335.00
2015	20	1100	5853894	2015 CDBG Program Administration	:	\$45,806.96
2015	20	1100	5865080	2015 CDBG Program Administration	:	\$28,353.04
2015	20	1100	5893818	2015 CDBG Program Administration	:	\$64,095.22
2015	20	1100	5924079	2015 CDBG Program Administration	:	\$79,078.30
2015	20	1100	5953656	2015 CDBG Program Administration	:	\$33,241.96
					Matri	\$280,910.48
2014	20	1083	5855222	2014 CDBG Admin Indirect Cost Allocation	:	\$8,541.09
2015	20	1101	5853894	2015 CDBG Admin Indirect Cost Allocation	:	\$5,670.27
2015	20	1101	5865080	2015 CDBG Admin Indirect Cost Allocation	:	\$2,898.30
2015	20	1101	5893818	2015 CDBG Admin Indirect Cost Allocation	:	\$7,823.54
2015	20	1101	5924079	2015 CDBG Admin Indirect Cost Allocation	:	\$15,271.91
2015	20	1101	5953659	2015 CDBG Admin Indirect Cost Allocation	:	\$15,608.47
					Matri	\$55,813.58
Total						\$336,724.06

Revolving Loan Fund Interest Earned and Remitted Letter



COMMUNITY SERVICES, HOUSING, AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
CHRISTINE BARADA, DIRECTOR

September 19, 2016

Christina Yamamoto
Office of Community Planning & Development
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Seattle Regional Office
909 First Avenue, Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98104-1000

Re: Interest on Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) for Program Year 2015

Dear Ms. Yamamoto:

As required under 24 CFR 570.500(b), we are remitting a county warrant in the amount of \$7.29, which represents the earned interest from Spokane County's CDBG Revolving Loan Fund. The warrant is being forwarded to you for the purpose of transmittal to the U.S. Treasury.

Enclosed is the back-up documentation that will provide you with the accounting of earned interest. If you have any questions, please contact Amy Fanning, Senior Accountant, at (509)477-4519. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Barada
Director

AF/tpl

Enclosures: 2

cc: David Foster
Laura Schultz
Tim Crowley

312 WEST 8TH AVENUE ♦ 4TH FLOOR ♦ SPOKANE, WA 99204
PHONE: (509)477-5722 ♦ FAX: (509)477-6827 ♦ RELAY SERVICE OF WA: 1-800-833-6384
WWW.SPOKANECOUNTY.ORG



BoCC signed resolution adopting CAPER

NO. **16 - 0663**

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF SPOKANE COUNTY, WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER CONCERNING ADOPTING THE)
CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND)
EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER) FOR THE) **RESOLUTION**
SPOKANE COUNTY COMMUNITY)
DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT AND HOME)
PROGRAMS; 2015 PROGRAM YEAR)

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Spokane County, pursuant to the provisions of the Revised Code of Washington Section 36.32.120(6), has the care of County property and the management of County funds and business; and

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has the authority to make available to counties, funds for community development purposes pursuant to various federal laws including CFR Title 24, Chapter V, Part 570 (Community Development Block Grants); and funds for HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) under 24 CFR Part 92.150; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requires the County to submit a Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) annually, pursuant to 24 CFR Part 91.520; and

WHEREAS, the 2015 CAPER meets the requirements of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, including publication and availability of the report for a fifteen (15) day public comment period and distribution of report information during open public meetings of the Spokane County, Housing and Community Development Advisory Committee.

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of County Commissioners of Spokane County that the attached 2015 CAPER is hereby approved and adopted.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 13th day of September, 2016.



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF SPOKANE COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Shelly O'Quinn
Shelly O'Quinn, Chair

ABSENT

Al French, Vice-Chair

ATTES:

Giina Vasquez
Giina Vasquez, Clerk of the Board

Nancy McLaughlin
Nancy McLaughlin, Commissioner

AGENDA SHEET

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: Community Services, Housing, and Community Development (CSHCD)

CONTACT PERSON: Heather Arnold

PHONE NUMBER: (509) 477-4517

CHECK TYPE OF MEETING ITEM BELOW:

BELOW FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY:

9:30 AM CEO MEETING:

2:00 PM CONSENT AGENDA:

BY LEAVE:

5:30 PM LEGISLATIVE SESSION:

BY LEAVE:

SPECIAL SESSION:

Clerk's Resolution No. 16-0663
Approved: Majority/Unanimous _____
Denied: Majority/Unanimous _____
Renews/Amends No. _____
Public Works No. _____
Purchasing Dept. No. _____

AGENDA TITLE: IN THE MATTER CONCERNING ADOPTING THE CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER) FOR THE SPOKANE COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT AND HOME PROGRAMS; 2015 PROGRAM YEAR.

BACKGROUND (Attach separate sheet(s) if necessary): Annually, Spokane County must report its use of federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnership Program funds to the public and the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The CAPER is an evaluation the County's performance in meeting community development needs that are contained in the Spokane County 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan (5 year plan) and subsequent Annual Action Plans.

Spokane County CDBG and HOME Programs fund eligible activities that address affordable housing, public facility, infrastructure, economic development, public services, and other needs of low-income persons throughout Spokane County. The 2015 CAPER describes projects undertaken and/or completed in the 2015 Program Year for all of these eligible activities.

RECOMMENDATION: Approve

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

REQUESTED BOARD ACTION: Adopt resolution.

SIGNATURES:

Christine Barada
Department Head/Elected Official or
Designated Authority (Requesting Agenda Item)

Gerry Gemmill,
Chief Executive Officer

Grants Administrator (sign-off)

This item will need to be codified in the Spokane County Code.