

WEST BRANCH LITTLE SPOKANE RIVER WATERSHED COMMITTEE

Meeting Summary

Monday, May 21, 2007

Riverside Fire Station, 3818 E. Deer Park – Milan Rd., Riverside, WA

FINAL

Bryony Stasney (facilitator) opened the meeting at 6:00 p.m.

Attendees Present: Bill Harmon, Burt Covert, Dolores Storms, Dan Peterson, Dick Anderson (alternate for Lynne Anderson), Gil McGee, Greg Sweeney, Howard Rowley, Bev Rowley, Jeff Storms, Sally Storms, Jeff Lawlor, Jill Short, Luke Short, Jim Peterson, John Harkness, Linda Cannon, Karen Averitt, Kathleen Werr (alternate for Russ Fletcher), Darrell Johnson, Mary Sterling, Mike Carney, Mike Lithgow, Pam Zarko, Dan Ross (alternate for Rick Noll), Rob Lindsay, Thomas Wimpy, Tammera Kirk (alternate for Kitty Russell and Carolyn Russell), Sandra Roath, Josh Roath.

Introductions: Everyone introduced themselves. Bryony asked everyone to sign-in to the meeting and to check and edit the information on the sign-in sheet. Bryony introduced herself as the Committee's facilitator. Bryony's contact information is: Bryony Stasney, bstasney@golder.com, 208-676-9933 (office) and 208-755-1010 (cell).

April 23rd 2007 Meeting Summary: The draft summary was approved without changes by consensus of those present. The final April 2007 meeting summary will be posted on the web at: <http://www.spokanecounty.org/wqmp/projects/ASP/WestBranch.asp>.

Agenda Review:

Those present agreed to add discussion of WRIA 55/57 project ranking for Ecology funding to the agenda as time allows.

Public Comment:

- Bryony noted that the MOU for the management of water resources in lakes of the Little Spokane River watershed (signed by the Pend Oreille Board of County Commissioners, Washington Departments of Fish and Wildlife and Ecology) has been extended to June 30, 2009.
- Sandra Roath noted that Stimson Lumber is completing an inventory of timber at the Rustlers Gulch property. Jeff Lawlor of Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) noted that WDFW is in the process of hiring a contractor to complete an assessment of the Rustlers Gulch property. WDFW is hoping that the owners will sell the property to WDFW once the funds to purchase the property are confirmed. WDFW is also working with Ducks Unlimited to obtain additional funding. The property (2,853 acres) is located between Horseshoe and Fan lakes and includes a stretch of the West Branch of the Little Spokane River.
- Karen Averitt noted that a developer called Rob Holland has recently purchased the Sacheen Lake Resort and the 55 acres across the road from the resort and is

working on condominium design and septic system proposals to the County (none of which have yet been approved). This developer has been fined in Idaho for sewage release and destruction of kokanee spawning habitat.

- Jeff Storms said that Jaime Short (Ecology) asked him to see if the group would be interested in a tour of the West Branch LSR. A date will be confirmed at the next meeting. Bryony noted that this will need to be done outside regular meeting times, for example on a weekend.
- Darrell noted that the Sacheen Sewer District removed portions of the beaver dams on May 9 and left the debris in the wetland adjacent to the dam. Darrell asked Jeff Storms and Jeff Lawlor to comment on this since the Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) covers beaver tube maintenance and not dam removal. Jeff Lawlor noted that there have been two HPAs. One of the HPA's (for reducing the height of existing beaver dams and removal of any new beaver dams) was active for one year between April 2006 - April 2007 (expired April 3rd 2007). A second HPA is active (and has been active since 2003) to allow for beaver tube maintenance. Jeff Lawlor suggested that the Sacheen Sewer District apply for a new 5-year HPA and include in the HPA all the activities anticipated by the group for beaver tube maintenance. A separate HPA will be required to install new tubes or replace existing tubes. Jeff Storms said that he did not know about the removal of portions of the beaver dam.

WRIA 55/57 Project Ranking:

Greg Sweeney noted that he, Mike Lithgow, Tom Wimpy and Jeff Storms are working on a grant application to submit to Ecology for operating and/or capital budget funding (2007-2009) to continue studies to assess the feasibility of additional water storage within the WB LSR Watershed. This study was started within the WRIA 55/57 multi-purpose water storage assessment (Golder, 2004). Greg handed out copies of the draft grant application for review during the meeting break. The grant application closes in mid-June. As a result, Greg requested that this group consider a recommendation to proceed with submission of this grant application.

Darrell asked Greg if he was aware of a study that was proposed by Spokane County to assess raising Eloika Lake using an impoundment structure. Greg said that he is aware of a 1988 geologic investigation, a 1992 watershed plan by Saltero (Washington State University), and a 1994 preliminary report for a water control structure. Greg said that he is not aware of why these projects did not proceed. Greg said that he hopes that this current effort will result in implementation of a flow control regime to address West Branch watershed issues. The first component of this study will be to review previous studies. Burt noted that threat of a lawsuit from landowners at the lower end of Eloika Lake ended the project to raise Eloika Lake. Jeff Lawlor noted that there are records on file at the County for this project.

Bryony noted that the WRIA 55/57 implementation team has completed a preliminary project ranking. This ranking will be revised / confirmed at the Wednesday 9 am – noon, June 6, 2007 WRIA 55/57 meeting at the Chattaroy fire station. The final list will

be presented to Ecology Eastern Regional office on June 11. The preliminary ranking is:

- #1 Little Spokane River Gauging Re-establish Elk gauge with real time and install a lake gauge at Diamond Lake. Rick Noll, Conservation District will help with preparation. Did get some funding thru June 30, 2007.
- #2 Wetland Restoration Feasibility To include more general areas (including Diamond Lake). Spokane County will help with preparation. This proposal has not been funded previously.
- #3 Multi-Use Flow Control Model and data collection for WB of Little Spokane River. Greg Sweeney and the West Branch Committee will help with the preparation.
- #4 Water Conservation Incentives City of Spokane and Spokane County will have input on this grant application. Not previously funded.
- #5 Regional Conservation Consortium Coordinator Rob Lindsay, Spokane County will help prepare this proposal.
- #6 Stream Flow Augmentation Study Program From Post Falls to Sullivan. Ty Wick will help with this proposal.
- #7 West Branch Assessment Last year the legislation appropriated \$75,000 for this proposal and May 15, 2007 another \$75,000 for beaver mitigation was signed by the governor. For this grant application we are asking the Pend Oreille Conservation District to make a 2 year proposal.

The LSR river gaging project includes continuation of the current WB LSR streamflow gaging, a new lake level gage at Diamond Lake and re-establishment of a streamflow gaging station at Elk. The Spokane County Conservation District (SCCD) has scheduled a seepage run at 13 sites along the WB LSR next month. Dan Ross noted that this will provide information on gaining and losing reaches. Bryony requested that the SCCD provide an update to the group on this work next month.

2007 WB LSR Watershed \$15,000 Implementation Project:

Bryony noted that \$15,000 is available to support an on-the-ground project this year. Bryony noted that the group was asked at the last meeting to develop project ideas and come prepared to this meeting to present proposals for consideration by the Committee. The following projects were proposed:

Project #1 – Sacheen Lake Tube Replacement

There are currently two 2-foot diameter tubes in each of the three beaver dams below Sacheen Lake. Jeff Storms proposed replacement of the 2-foot diameter beaver tubes (that are 20 feet long) with one 4-foot diameter (20 foot long) beaver tube in each of the three dams (for a total of three 4-foot diameter beaver tube). The replacement tubes would be fitted with elbows. Jeff noted that the estimated cost for the project is \$12,400. The project will require a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit from

Pend Oreille County (including SEPA) and an HPA from WDFW (that will require signatures from landowners).

Q: Mary asked if the previous abandoned tubes and material that are now buried at the sites could also be removed as a part of this project.

A: Jeff Lawlor said that this could be permitted under the same HPA that would be required for tube replacement.

Q: Linda asked if Jeff Storms anticipates that the larger tubes will be more successful at allowing water to pass through the dams.

A: Jeff Storms said that larger tubes should reduce the tube maintenance requirements at these three dams. However, since this project only addresses the three dams immediately downstream of Sacheen Lake, it is unclear if this project will work to pass water down the system below these three dams.

Q: Linda asked Jeff to explain what beaver deceivers are.

A: This term is used for many different applications. The concept is to deceive the beaver so it does not know where the water is flowing through the structure and therefore does not know how to block the water. For example, submerging tubes below the water surface and putting a cage around the tubes may deceive beavers.

Q: Does this project include deceivers?

A: Jeff Storms said that it does include elbows on each of the 4-foot diameter tubes and wire over the elbows. Jeff Lawlor added that submerging the tubes is also a method of deceiving the beavers.

Q: Does this project involve installing the tubes with cement?

A: Jeff Storms said that it does not. Jeff is hoping to use galvanized pipes that the pipes can be raised and lowered. Jeff said that if the Sacheen Lake elevation reaches 7.9, the lake association does not have the right to release water that will result in a lower lake level.

Mary noted that the agreed upon level for Sacheen Lake was agreed by the Sacheen Lake Association. Sacheen Lake does not have an adjudicated lake level and therefore the Sacheen Lake Association does not have a right to stop water flowing out of the lake.

Jeff Lawlor noted that the purpose of the beaver tubes is to lower Sacheen Lake to alleviate flooding. Once the flooding concern is addressed, the river should be allowed to return to a natural flow situation which would likely involve a lower lake level in drier years. The tubes should not be lifted out of the water and used to hold back water.

Darrell commented that the Pend Oreille County Conservation District determined that the next dam down in the system (downstream of the three dams included in this project) does not have a significant impact on the Sacheen Lake level. Darrell said that the tubes in these three dams should therefore be self regulating if installed properly.

Q: Have any hydrology studies been completed to support the larger tube size.

A: Jeff Storms said that no hydrology studies have been completed to size the larger tubes since no funding is available to do this. The available \$15,000 must be used for on-the-ground projects.

Q: Have you received permission from landowners?

A: No, not yet. We will need the landowners' permission and will need the landowners to sign the HPA.

Mary said that, as a landowner, (re: proposal of 4 ft. diameter beaver tubes), that she would need to be assured that these larger tubes would not cause too rapid a release of water and sediments, which then results in a depleted wetland, which then impairs the instream flow of water to her downstream neighbors during the time it takes for the wetland to recharge itself in the area that has been manipulated.

Jeff Storms noted that flooding has been a concern for many years at Sacheen Lake and, although he hopes that this project will alleviate flooding, he is not certain that it will work.

Mary noted that when Pend Oreille County completed their assessment of flooding at Sacheen Lake last year, the County concluded that no legally permitted septic or basement had flooded.

Bill Harmon said that Spokane County provided him with a 3 by 4 foot aerial map of Eloika Lake, including overlays for delineation of the flood plain and wetlands for \$28. Bill encouraged others to obtain similar maps between now and the next meeting. Mike Lithgow noted that Pend Oreille County does not have as sophisticated a GIS system as Spokane County but is able to provide aerial photography, national wetland inventory mapping and a rough layer of the floodplains (but not FEMA quality).

John Harkness asked if water inflow to Sacheen Lake has been addressed. John said that he has heard that beaver dams in the vicinity of the boy-scout camp at Diamond Lake are resulting in more water inflow to Sacheen Lake and also preventing water inflow to Diamond Lake and Moon Creek.

John asked why milfoil is not being addressed. Bryony noted that the intent of the \$15,000 is to provide a short term solution to flooding concerns. Milfoil is categorized as a water quality concern.

Gil asked if the GIS coverages include wetlands in the Moon Creek area. This area has large areas of wetlands that must store a lot of water. If this water is released quickly, Sacheen would experience significant flooding. Mike responded that the wetlands coverages include the entire County.

Kathleen said that there has not been an assessment of downstream impacts from the use of beaver tubes. Kathleen said she would like to see monitoring of downstream impacts and assessment of these monitoring results as a component of the project. Jim Peterson said that, as a downstream landowner, he agrees with Kathleen.

Jeff Storms asked Jeff Lawlor if he is aware of monitoring that has been completed to assess the impacts of beaver tubes. Jeff Lawlor noted that the HPA is issued for protection of fish and does not include monitoring of other processes. Jeff Lawlor said that he believes that sediment load and how sediment transport is affected by the beaver tubes may be an issue. It is likely that with bigger tubes there will be better sediment transport. There could be an assessment of the site (including sedimentation) prior to project implementation and then an assessment at regular intervals after the project is implemented. However, this will be complicated by what has occurred previously at these locations.

Project #2 – Feasibility of Increased Water Storage at Trout Lake

Darrell noted that Trout Lake is privately owned and includes a water right that dates back to 1931. The outlet is small and a small dam could therefore potentially result in storage of a significant amount of water. Darrell said that he has not contacted the owner and does not know if the owner would be interested in this type of project. The Golder (2004) storage report for WRIA 55/57 mentions the possibility of water storage at Trout Lake.

Project #3 –Water Storage at Silver City Tree Farms

Jim Peterson noted that there are two areas on his Silver City Tree Farm property that could be flooded by allowing culverts to fill. This would result in creation of small lakes and wetlands. These areas could be used to retain water released from Sacheen to alleviate flooding at Sacheen and potentially downstream.

Jeff Lawlor noted that this could also (like project #2) be considered in a larger view of water storage within the West Branch of the Little Spokane River.

Bryony asked for a show of hands for each of the projects. The results are as follows:

- Project #1 – 19 in favor, 4 abstain
- Project #2 – 0 in favor
- Project #3 – 0 in favor

After discussion, the Committee requested that Jeff Storms come to the next meeting with information on project planning progress (e.g., permitting progress) and how the following concerns will be addressed:

- Removal of previously abandoned tubes and material that are now buried at the sites (note that this debris removal activity could be included as a component of the new HPA).
- Monitoring of downstream impacts.

Jeff Storms asked the Committee if they could provide a letter of recommendation for the project. The Committee agreed that they would not provide a letter of recommendation and that the selection of the project by the group would be sufficient to move the project forward. Permit application will be Jeff Storms' responsibility. Permit approval will be the responsibility of the relevant agencies.

The Committee requested that Jeff Storms work with the Pend Oreille Conservation District to plan and implement the project. Jeff Storms requested technical assistance as needed from WDFW, Pend Oreille County and the Pend Oreille Conservation District.

Darrell noted that many members of the Committee own river or lake front property. The beaver dams on these lands are privately owned (unless Washington State proves otherwise). If beaver tubes result in reduced access to river and lake front, landowners have the right to withdraw permission to access the beaver dams. Darrell noted that he is no longer able to access the river directly from his property due to activities on beaver dams on and upstream of his property. Darrell said that he abstained from voting because the work on the beaver dams has cost him in terms of reduced property values and river access. Darrell said that he has allowed access to the dams on his property so long as an access agreement is signed. So far this year, the access agreement has not been signed.

Watershed Issues to Address for WRIA 55/57 Detailed Implementation Plan:

Bryony recapped the process agreed to by the Committee at the April 2007 meeting:

1. Review the WB LSR Committee verbatim issue list (dated 042307) and confirm those issues that are relevant to the WRIA 55/57 Plan issues / recommendations.
2. Consolidate, prioritize and develop actions to address the WB LSR issues that are relevant to the WRIA 55/57 Plan issues / recommendations.
3. Propose actions to the WRIA 55/57 WIT that are agreed to by consensus (or vote if necessary) of the WB LSR Committee and that are relevant to recommendations within the WRIA 55/57 Watershed Plan.
4. After the July 2007 deadline has passed, move back to the remaining WB LSR issues.

Bryony worked through the WB LSR Committee verbatim issue list (dated 042307) and confirmed / clarified the issues that are relevant to the WRIA 55/57 Plan issues / recommendations. Note that these issues do not include water quality concerns since the WRIA 55/57 Watershed Plan does not include water quality recommendations. These issues (with clarifications) are:

Entire Watershed

- Forest Practice Effects - *logging too close to water resulting in rapid and turbid run-off to streams / lakes.*
- Lack of water flowing downstream from Sacheen
- Water quantity – *too much and too little.*

- Water storage – *need for.*
- Need more info on flows (stream discharge/lake levels)
- Residences encroaching on wetlands & riparian habitat - need to be removed - need to be prevented – *determine what WB LSR Committee can do about this.*
- Loss of riparian & wetland habitat
- Logging in wetland and critical areas of the west branch
- Water quantity (a way to regulate flow)
- Lack of fish – *instream flow needs assessment.*
- Development (up to code) – *including water use and septic.*
- Proliferation of domestic exempt wells (too many, unrestricted)
- Logging damaging watersheds
- Many tribs? – *issue meaning not clear.*
- Would like to see additional wetland delineation assessments for all areas of the west branch – *this was discussed at the 041807 WRIA 55/57 meeting.*
- Loss of headwater forest land (harvesting impacts to hydrology)

Other Waterbodies in the WBLSR Watershed

- Instream flows.

Tributaries to Sacheen Lake

- Proposed Real Estate development above Sacheen – *this is a land use issue that has potential for water quality impacts and reduced flows (due to increased water use).*
- Heavy duty (virtual clearcutting) on tributaries to Moon Crk which is about 80% to 90% of Sacheen LK watershed - *this is a land use issue that has potential for water quality impacts and reduced summer flows.*
- DNR ignorance on tributaries to Homestead Lake to Moon Crk. - *this is a land use issue that has potential for water quality impacts and reduced summer flows.*

Sacheen Lake

- Flooding
- Sacheen Outlet-Beaver dams and tubes – *what is the issue?*
- Other system is needed to prevent flooding besides beaver tubes a.) difficult/dangerous to keep clear of debris b.) not totally effective of maintaining lake level.
- Flood control
- Flooding
- Keyhole issues that face the Lake – *increased water use and more rapid spring runoff?*
- Sacheen flooding
- High density keyholeing – *increased water use and more rapid spring runoff?*
- Beaver dams – *what is the issue?*
- Protecting wetlands
- Keyholeing control
- Water storage
- Plugged beaver tubes
- Other options to beaver tubes?
- Funding / responsibility for consistent maintenance of beaver tubes is needed
- Sacheen – Eloika cooperative release & storage opportunity

- Better understanding regarding the difference between flooding, spring runoff and normal variations of a natural waterbody
- Flooding issues
- Lack of water for down-river and lakes
- Lack of agreement and cooperation between landowners

Tributaries to Trout Lake

- Protecting wetlands
- River – west branch below Sacheen- establish minimum water level in river allowed & retain minimum flow throughout summer & water storage for downstream
- Logging by Stimpson on west branch just below Sacheen – *what is the issue? Water quality impacts and/or run-off timing?*
- Another independent wetland delineation on WBLSR
- West branch little Spokane – beaver dams blocking flow out of Sacheen, Silt from erosion, milfoil – *flow concerns.*
- Deposition and sedimentation from human activities filling in parts of W.B River bed – *decreased potential for water storage.*
- Sacheen Lake outlet / West Branch LSR beaver tubes/dams – *what is the issue?*
- Maybe thoughts of dredging? – *related to flow maintenance.*
- Cattail removal of selected chunks – *related to flow maintenance.*
- Place to store water for downriver folks
- Interrupted or reduced water flows caused by human activities upstream
- Reduced fisheries from various human activities
- Logging within wetland boundaries
- Roads built across wetlands – *causing wetland destruction / damage.*
- Sacheen's impact on the West Branch – herbicides, beaver dam manipulations, improper debris disposal – *flow concerns.*
- Fresh running streams (3) on our property and main body of Little Spokane no longer run freely – clogged brackish water, cattail growth no longer define stream beds. Beaver definitely contribute. Should open again. – *clarify issue. Have water flows decreased in this location. If yes, why?*

West Branch Little Spokane River below Sacheen

- Determine effects of low water levels on wetland health
- Protection of wetland habitat and functions
- Logging and road construction in and near wetlands
- Declining fish population
- Beaver tube maintenance
- Assessment of success/failure – *implementation monitoring and adaptive management.*

Trout Lake

- Water storage

Tributaries to Eloika Lake

No issues for WRIA 55/57 DIP

Eloika Lake

- Water level fluctuation
- Water level issue too much fluctuation
- Need for control of spring runoff
- Artificial human intervention by some property owners to artificially lower lake level to turn wetland into pasture
- Illegal beaver dam (= natural flow control) removal, southern end of lake
- Siltation from upstream – *caused by low flows.*
- Restoration of lake – bottom is full of debris
- Storage – excellent multi use storage potential, later release opportunity
- Complete wetland delineation
- Additional water storage capacity in Eloika lake is available
- Fish population decline
- Woodlands designation current some help – *clarify this issue.*
- Low summer flow
- Need flow control
- Unexplained flow surges periodically
- Historic lake levels artificially lowered by Spokane co. roads engineering
- Low summer levels = wetland damage (drying)
- Bottom debris increasing due to low water level upstream debris flow
- Retention of wetlands
- Mitigating development impacts to remaining wetlands

Tributaries to Diamond Lake

No issues identified

Diamond Lake

- Water level high & low
- Beaver dams on inlet at boy scout camp cowls
- Water quantities for water & sewer district and secondary lot owners
- Preservation of wetlands surrounding lakes
- Water removal without permits – *consider letter from WRIA 55/57 group to Ecology.*
- Diamond Lake inflow and outlet flow – potential gages
- Gage flow for East Branch to check with West Branch
- Concerned that instream flow requirements will drastically lower lake levels
- Main concern is maintaining lake water levels at Diamond Lake during drought years
- Concern over beaver dams diverting water from entering Diamond Lake

Tributaries to Fan Lake and Fan Lake

No issues identified

Tributaries to Lost Lake and Lost Lake

No issues identified

Horseshoe Lake

- Water flow over the devils falls is extremely heavy in march & very very light in August through September
- Future development of large tracts of land in sensitive wetland areas; 2,800 acres
- Renew & retrieve the kokanee spawning area on buck creek (a. new culverts? b. new bridge?)
- Maintain a constant and controlled flow of the west fork of the little Spokane river into the east side of the lake
- There is a sale of 2,400 acres of land in the middle of the Little Spokane drainage. This could have a tremendous effect on the flow from Horseshoe Lake to Fan and Eloika
- Water flow gauges on tributary into Horseshoe Lake?
- Water well rights
- Beavers – over population

Issue Consolidation:

There was insufficient time for the Committee to work on issue consolidation at the meeting. As a result, Bryony said that she would consolidate the issues over the next week and mail / email the draft list of consolidated issues to address for the WRIA 55/57 Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP) to the Committee for review and comment. Issue consolidation will be confirmed by the Committee at the June 2007 Committee meeting.

Bryony noted that she plans to consolidate the issues into categories / sub-categories as follows:

1. Flow Control
 - a. Flow gaging
 - b. Flooding
 - c. Low flows
 - d. Water storage
 - e. Wetlands (delineation, restoration, creation, protection)
2. Land Use
 - a. Forest Practices (impacts of roads and logging)
 - b. Development (including planning / zoning and water use)
3. Instream Needs Assessment

Bryony passed out a form to the Committee that shows the format that will be used to document recommended actions to issues. Bryony asked the Committee to begin thinking about actions to their issues between now and the June 2007 meeting.

Public Comment:

Greg asked the Committee for their support to work on and submit the grant application to the WRIA 55/57 group for a flow control / water storage assessment for the WB LSR watershed. After discussion, the Committee provided their approval to Greg to work on and submit the grant application to the WRIA 55/57 group.

Membership:

Lynn Anderson (represented by her alternate tonight) has now attended 3 consecutive meetings and is eligible to request Committee membership. Burt Covert, Sandra Roath and Josh Roath have now attended 2 consecutive meetings. If any of these individuals or their designated alternates attend the next meeting they will be eligible to request Committee membership at the end of the June 2007 meeting.

Next Meeting:

- Next meeting will be held between **6 – 9 pm** on Monday June 25, 2007 at the Riverside Fire Station, 3818 E. Deer Park – Milan Road, Riverside, WA.
Note the earlier start time and three hour meeting.
- Agenda items for the next meeting will include:
 - Review and approval of May 2007 Meeting Summary.
 - Update by Jeff Storms on planning / implementation of beaver tube replacement project below Sacheen Lake.
 - Consolidation of WB LSR Watershed issues to address for the WRIA 55/57 DIP.
 - Update by Greg Sweeney on WRIA 55/57 project ranking for submittal to Ecology.
 - Update on sale of property in Rustler's Gulch.
 - Confirmation of field trip date.
 - Membership review.
- Tasks:
 - Jeff Storms will work with POCD staff to plan the project to replace the six 2-foot diameter beaver tubes with three 4-foot diameter beaver tubes.
 - Ecology will confirm the budget allocation for 2008 for the WB LSR Committee (note that this was scheduled for the May 21, 2007 Committee meeting – however no members of Ecology attended the May 21, 2007 meeting).
 - Sandra Roath will provide an update on the sale of the Rustler's Gulch property.
 - Committee will review consolidated issue list to address for WRIA 55/57 DIP.
- Announcements:
 - The next WRIA 55/57 WIT meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, June 6, 9 am –noon at the fire station in Chattaroy. About 15 minutes of this meeting is slated for discussion of priority projects for funding.

Adjourn:

- Bryony adjourned the meeting at 9:07 pm.
- Digital recording files for this meeting will be mailed to Carolyn Russell and to the POCD. Digital recording files of this meeting are:
 - WBLSR Committee 052107a.wav
 - WBLSR Committee 052107b.wav