Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Past Year Changes and State and County Comparisons

	Past Year Change[2]	
Trends in Outpatient[3] Admissions	***County***	***State***
Overall Admissions	24.36%	6.88%
Youth[4]	68.11%	4.11%
Female	22.92%	9.68%
Pregnant and Parenting Women[5]	-4.54%	2.98%
Parents with Children[6]	61.47%	3.79%
Gay, Lesbian, Transgender[7]	36.36%	27.93%
IV Drug Use (Ever)[8]	8.23%	8.00%
IV Drug Use (past 30 days)[9]	23.68%	2.73%
CJTA-funded Admission[10]	90.90%	24.32%
CJ Referrals[11]	25.77%	0.05%
Opiate Substitution Admission	121.05%	-8.68%
Primary Drug		
Alcohol	20.26%	5.77%
Cocaine	52.94%	1.34%
Heroin	1.81%	0.28%
Methamphetamine	17.77%	13.28%
Marijuana	35.69%	5.22%
Trends in Detox Admissions		
Detox Admissions	-4.46%	-5.43%
Percent Completed *Past year completion, not a change in score*	41.20%	65.95%

	PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL IN 2006	
Treatment Expansion: Progress Toward Goals	***County***	***State***
All Adults	75.03%	81.03%
Aged	73.23%	65.61%
Blind/Disabled	49.59%	70.33%
TANF	95.88%	86.26%
GA-U	121.04%	114.37%
Youth	114.01%	91.25%

[1] Defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Admissions whose contract type is DOC-Community are included.
[2] [(Admissions: Jan-June 06-Admissions Jan-June 05)/Admissions Jan-June 05]* 100.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] Under 18 years of age at admission.
[5] Identified using the contract type field in TARGET. Value of Pregnant/Parenting.
[6] Identified using two fields from TARGET. The first field indicates the client's children (under 18) that are living with them and the second indicates other children living with the client. If the client has either their own or other children living with them they are counted as parents with children for this analysis.
[7] Identified using the sexual orientation field in TARGET.
[8] Identified using the needle use field in TARGET.
[9] Identified using the used needle recently field or the method_ID field in TARGET.
[10] Identified using the contract type field in TARGET. Values of 1) Criminal Justice or 2) Criminal Justice - Innovations.
[11] Identified using the entry referral field in TARGET. Values of 1) Court/Probation, 2) Department of Corrections, 3) Diversion, 4) Police or 5) JRA.
[12] Expansion subpopulations were identified using several fields from the TARGET database, including Public Assistance Type, Contract Type and Disability.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Overall Outpatient[2] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	24.36% △
Jan-Jun 2003	53.86% △
Jan-Jun 2000	60.27% △

	Admissions[4]
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	1,771

[1] Defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[3] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun06 – Admissions:Previous Period)/Admissions:Previous Period)] * 100.
[4] Counts of admissions for a six month period.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Youth[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Youth Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	68.11% △	24.36% △
Jan-Jun 2003	34.36% △	53.86% △
Jan-Jun 2000	44.39% △	60.27% △

Youth

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	241	21.8%	864	78.2%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	210	21.3%	777	78.7%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	272	23.3%	896	76.7%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	255	22.0%	906	78.0%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	249	22.2%	871	77.8%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	248	21.8%	890	78.2%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	259	22.5%	892	77.5%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	284	23.4%	932	76.6%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	236	18.8%	1,016	81.2%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	213	17.4%	1,011	82.6%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	207	14.5%	1,217	85.5%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	283	19.5%	1,165	80.5%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	348	19.6%	1,423	80.4%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Under 18 years of age at admission.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of Admissions to Youth/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in Youth admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of Youth admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions for youth during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Pregnant/Parenting[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Pregnant/Parenting Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	-4.54% ▼	24.36% △
Jan-Jun 2003	90.90% △	53.86% △
Jan-Jun 2000	-50.00% ▼	60.27% △

Pregnant/Parenting

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	84	7.6%	1,021	92.4%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	66	6.7%	921	93.3%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	72	6.2%	1,096	93.8%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	36	3.1%	1,125	96.9%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	22	2.0%	1,098	98.0%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	43	3.8%	1,095	96.2%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	22	1.9%	1,129	98.1%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	37	3.0%	1,179	97.0%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	43	3.4%	1,209	96.6%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	31	2.5%	1,193	97.5%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	44	3.1%	1,380	96.9%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	39	2.7%	1,409	97.3%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	42	2.4%	1,729	97.6%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using the contract type field in TARGET. Value of Pregnant/Parenting.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of Admissions to PPW/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in PPW admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of PPW admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions for PPW during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Parent with Kids[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Parent with Kids Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	61.47% △	24.36% △
Jan-Jun 2003	72.05% △	53.86% △
Jan-Jun 2000	132.44% △	60.27% △

Parent with Kids

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	339	30.7%	766	69.3%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	278	28.2%	709	71.8%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	385	33.0%	783	67.0%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	426	36.7%	735	63.3%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	465	41.5%	655	58.5%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	486	42.7%	652	57.3%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	458	39.8%	693	60.2%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	483	39.7%	733	60.3%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	484	38.7%	768	61.3%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	476	38.9%	748	61.1%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	488	34.3%	936	65.7%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	571	39.4%	877	60.6%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	788	44.5%	983	55.5%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using two fields from TARGET. The first field indicates the client's children (under 18) that are living with them and the second indicates other children living with the client. If the client has either their own or other children living with them they are counted as parents with children for this analysis.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of Admissions to Parents with children/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in admissions for parents with children relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of PPW admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions for parents with children in the home during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Gay, Lesbian or Transgender[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Gay, Lesbian or Transgender Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	36.36%	24.36%
Jan-Jun 2003	57.89%	53.86%
Jan-Jun 2000	undefined	60.27%

Gay, Lesbian or Transgender

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	0	0.0%	1,105	100.0%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	0	0.0%	987	100.0%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	0	0.0%	1,168	100.0%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	0	0.0%	1,161	100.0%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	24	2.1%	1,096	97.9%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	21	1.8%	1,117	98.2%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	19	1.7%	1,132	98.3%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	19	1.6%	1,197	98.4%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	22	1.8%	1,230	98.2%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	36	2.9%	1,188	97.1%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	22	1.5%	1,402	98.5%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	22	1.5%	1,426	98.5%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	30	1.7%	1,741	98.3%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using the sexual orientation field in TARGET.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of Admissions to gay, lesbian and transgender clients/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in gay, lesbian and transgender admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of gay, lesbian and transgender admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions for gay, lesbian and transgender clients during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

IV Drug User (Ever)[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in IV Drug User (Ever) Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	8.23% ▲	24.36% ▲
Jan-Jun 2003	46.96% ▲	53.86% ▲
Jan-Jun 2000	28.13% ▲	60.27% ▲

IV Drug User (Ever)

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	359	32.5%	746	67.5%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	315	31.9%	672	68.1%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	344	29.5%	824	70.5%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	354	30.5%	807	69.5%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	357	31.9%	763	68.1%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	357	31.4%	781	68.6%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	313	27.2%	838	72.8%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	353	29.0%	863	71.0%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	314	25.1%	938	74.9%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	348	28.4%	876	71.6%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	425	29.8%	999	70.2%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	419	28.9%	1,029	71.1%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	460	26.0%	1,311	74.0%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using the needle use field in TARGET.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of Admissions to IV Drug Users/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in IV Drug Use admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of IV Drug Use admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions for clients that every used needles to inject drugs.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

IV Drug User (30 days)[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in IV Drug User (30 days) Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	23.68% ▲	24.36% ▲
Jan-Jun 2003	74.07% ▲	53.86% ▲
Jan-Jun 2000	-86.90% ▼	60.27% ▲

IV Drug User (30 days)

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	359	32.5%	746	67.5%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	315	31.9%	672	68.1%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	344	29.5%	824	70.5%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	173	14.9%	988	85.1%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	37	3.3%	1,083	96.7%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	37	3.3%	1,101	96.7%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	27	2.3%	1,124	97.7%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	29	2.4%	1,187	97.6%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	36	2.9%	1,216	97.1%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	43	3.5%	1,181	96.5%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	38	2.7%	1,386	97.3%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	49	3.4%	1,399	96.6%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	47	2.7%	1,724	97.3%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using the used needle recently field or the method_ID field in TARGET.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of Admissions to IV Drug Users/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in IV Drug Use admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of IV Drug Use admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions for recent IV drug users during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Criminal Justice Admission[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Criminal Justice Admission Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	90.90%	24.36%
Jan-Jun 2003	110.00%	53.86%
Jan-Jun 2000	3,260.00%	60.27%

Criminal Justice Admission

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	5	0.5%	1,100	99.5%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	7	0.7%	980	99.3%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	19	1.6%	1,149	98.4%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	19	1.6%	1,142	98.4%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	12	1.1%	1,108	98.9%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	35	3.1%	1,103	96.9%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	80	7.0%	1,071	93.0%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	78	6.4%	1,138	93.6%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	100	8.0%	1,152	92.0%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	70	5.7%	1,154	94.3%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	88	6.2%	1,336	93.8%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	84	5.8%	1,364	94.2%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	168	9.5%	1,603	90.5%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using the contract type field in TARGET. Values of 1) Criminal Justice or 2) Criminal Justice - Innovations.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of CJTA Admissions/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in CJTA admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of CJTA admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions funded by the CJTA during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Criminal Justice Referral[2] Outpatient[3] Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Criminal Justice Referral Admissions[5]*	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	25.77%	24.36%
Jan-Jun 2003	75.96%	53.86%
Jan-Jun 2000	92.58%	60.27%

Criminal Justice Referral

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[6]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	593	53.7%	512	46.3%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	506	51.3%	481	48.7%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	731	62.6%	437	37.4%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	714	61.5%	447	38.5%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	668	59.6%	452	40.4%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	665	58.4%	473	41.6%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	649	56.4%	502	43.6%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	742	61.0%	474	39.0%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	813	64.9%	439	35.1%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	766	62.6%	458	37.4%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	908	63.8%	516	36.2%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	948	65.5%	500	34.5%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	1,142	64.5%	629	35.5%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Identified using the entry referral field in TARGET. Values of 1) Court/Probation, 2) Department of Corrections, 3) Diversion, 4) Police or 5) JRA.
[3] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient.
[4] (Number of CJ referral Admissions/Total Outpatient Admissions) * 100. This line shows the trend in CJ referral admissions relative to overall admissions. It is included on the graph because of the additional information it provides. For example, it is possible for the total number of CJ referral admissions to be falling over time and still represent an increasing percentage of overall admissions.
[5] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[6] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the percent of all admissions whose entry referral source was related to the criminal justice system during a given period of time.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Outpatient[2] Admissions by Primary Drug[3]



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...[4]	*Primary Drug*						
	Alcohol	*Cocaine*	*Heroin*	*Methamphetamine*	*Marijuana*	*Other*	*Change in All Admissions*
Jan-Jun 2005	20.26% △	52.94% △	1.81% △	17.77% △	35.69% △	23.80% △	24.36% △
Jan-Jun 2003	52.98% △	36.84% △	7.69% △	106.51% △	28.26% △	90.24% △	53.86% △
Jan-Jun 2000	47.35% △	16.07% △	-29.11% ▼	190.19% △	47.55% △	95.00% △	60.27% △

Admissions by Primary Drug

	Alcohol		*Cocaine*		*Heroin*		*Marijuana*		*Meth*		*Other*		
	Admits	*%*	*Admits*	*%*	*Admits*	*%*	*Admits*	*%*	*Admits*	*%*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	435	39.4%	112	10.1%	79	7.1%	286	25.9%	153	13.8%	40	3.6%	1,105
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	392	39.7%	103	10.4%	64	6.5%	257	26.0%	148	15.0%	23	2.3%	987
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	464	39.7%	93	8.0%	62	5.3%	323	27.7%	184	15.8%	42	3.6%	1,168
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	422	36.3%	104	9.0%	64	5.5%	314	27.0%	216	18.6%	41	3.5%	1,161
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	409	36.5%	90	8.0%	72	6.4%	292	26.1%	220	19.6%	37	3.3%	1,120
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	461	40.5%	105	9.2%	52	4.6%	271	23.8%	209	18.4%	40	3.5%	1,138
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	419	36.4%	95	8.3%	52	4.5%	329	28.6%	215	18.7%	41	3.6%	1,151
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	439	36.1%	100	8.2%	58	4.8%	308	25.3%	265	21.8%	46	3.8%	1,216
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	459	36.7%	107	8.5%	52	4.2%	314	25.1%	268	21.4%	52	4.2%	1,252
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	469	38.3%	108	8.8%	41	3.3%	269	22.0%	280	22.9%	57	4.7%	1,224
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	533	37.4%	85	6.0%	55	3.9%	311	21.8%	377	26.5%	63	4.4%	1,424
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	522	36.0%	108	7.5%	48	3.3%	337	23.3%	370	25.6%	63	4.4%	1,448
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	641	36.2%	130	7.3%	56	3.2%	422	23.8%	444	25.1%	78	4.4%	1,771

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient
[3] Identified using the Substance_ID variable in TARGET
[4] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Opiate Substitution Admissions



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in All Admissions[5]*
Jan-Jun 2005	121.05% △
Jan-Jun 2003	147.05% △
Jan-Jun 2000	200.00% △

	Admissions[3]
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	14
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	28
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	25
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	18
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	16
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	12
Jan 2003-Jun 2003	17
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	18
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	20
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	18
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	19
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	20
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	42

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] [(Admissions:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions: Previous Period)/Admissions: Previous Period] * 100.
[3] Counts of admissions for a six month period.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Trends in Detox Treatment and Completion[2]



Comparison of Admissions Over Time

Jan-Jun 2006 Compared to...	*Change in Total Admissions[3]*	*Change in Completion Rate[4]*
Jan-Jun 2005	-4.46% ▼	437.92% △
Jan-Jun 2003	16.92% △	
Jan-Jun 2000	-0.22% ▼	-14.94% ▼

Completed Treatment

	Yes		*No*		
	Admits	*%[5]*	*Admits*	*%*	*Total*
Jan 2000-Jun 2000	218	48.4%	232	51.6%	450
Jul 2000-Dec 2000	212	52.7%	190	47.3%	402
Jan 2001-Jun 2001	197	47.5%	218	52.5%	415
Jul 2001-Dec 2001	353	79.7%	90	20.3%	443
Jan 2002-Jun 2002	366	89.7%	42	10.3%	408
Jul 2002-Dec 2002	84	23.2%	278	76.8%	362
Jan 2003-Jun 2003			384	100.0%	384
Jul 2003-Dec 2003	21	4.7%	425	95.3%	446
Jan 2004-Jun 2004	158	36.7%	272	63.3%	430
Jul 2004-Dec 2004	98	24.0%	311	76.0%	409
Jan 2005-Jun 2005	36	7.7%	434	92.3%	470
Jul 2005-Dec 2005	25	5.3%	448	94.7%	473
Jan 2006-Jun 2006	185	41.2%	264	58.8%	449

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Completion comes from the Discharge Type field in TARGET. Only those records with a value of 'completed treatment' are included in the completion counts. All other values are included in the Did Not Complete Treatment column.
[3] [(Admission:Jan-Jun 06 – Admissions:Previous period)/Admissions-Previous Period]*100.
[4] [(Rate:Jan-June 06 – Rate:Previous Period)/Rate-Previous Period] * 100.
[5] (N/Total)*100. Figures in this column represent the completion rate.

Spokane County[1] Strategic Plan

Outpatient Admissions by Agency[2]

Admissions between July 2005 and June 2006

Agency	*All Admissions*	*IV Drug Use Admissions[3]*	*Female Admissions*	*Meth Admissions[4]*
Adult Outpatient[5] : Countywide Totals	**2,588**	**850**	**922**	**759**
Alcohol/Drug Network (CHIPS)	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
Camas Institute Behavioral Health Services	2.3%	0.8%	2.0%	0.8%
DOC - Brownstone Work Release	2.1%	2.7%	0.0%	3.6%
DOC - Eleanor Chase Work Release (Women Only)	2.9%	5.1%	7.7%	5.5%
DOC - Spokane Community Justice Center	23.5%	24.4%	10.5%	33.2%
Daybreak Of Spokane (Outpatient Program)	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Daybreak of Spokane (Valley Outpatient Program)	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Excelsior Group Care (NOT A CERTIFIED FACILITY)	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
N.A.T.I.V.E. Project	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
New Horizon Counseling Services	29.8%	29.1%	32.8%	23.2%
Northeast Washington Treatment Alternatives	7.0%	8.0%	9.3%	10.3%
Partners with Families and Children: Spokane	1.0%	0.9%	1.8%	1.2%
SPARC Outpatient Services	18.4%	18.4%	19.0%	14.6%
STEPPS - Youth Family Adult (YFA) Connections	12.4%	10.2%	16.1%	7.5%
Youth Outpatient[5] : Countywide Totals	**631**	**29**	**240**	**55**
Daybreak - Tamarack Center - Group Care Home	3.2%	0.0%	4.6%	1.8%
Daybreak Of Spokane (Outpatient Program)	25.4%	24.1%	30.0%	20.0%
Daybreak of Spokane (Valley Outpatient Program)	12.2%	6.9%	15.0%	20.0%
Excelsior Group Care (NOT A CERTIFIED FACILITY)	2.9%	0.0%	4.2%	3.6%
N.A.T.I.V.E. Project	14.4%	20.7%	14.2%	14.5%
Northeast Washington Treatment Alternatives	42.0%	48.3%	32.1%	40.0%
Adult Opiate Substitution: Countywide Totals	**62**	**47**	**37**	
Alcohol/Drug Network (CHIPS)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

[1] County is defined using the Facility County field in TARGET. Private pay funded admissions are excluded. Contract type = 'DOC-Community' are included.
[2] Agency percentages are percent of column totals, i.e. percent of all admissions, percent of IV drug use, etc.
[3] Has the client *ever* injected drugs? If so, the client is considered an IV Drug User for this analysis.
[4] Defined using the Primary Substance field in TARGET.
[5] Includes Intensive Outpatient, Outpatient, MICA Outpatient