

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON STATE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD
FOR SPOKANE COUNTY**

| | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| In the Matter of: | } | FILE No. 546-00 |
| | } | |
| PROPOSED INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF LIBERTY LAKE } | } | RESOLUTION AND HEARING DECISION |

HEARING DECISION

BRB 460-00: Proposed Incorporation of the City of Liberty Lake is hereby **APPROVED AND MODIFIED** by the Washington State Boundary Review Board for Spokane County. This action is based upon the following findings pursuant to RCW 36.93.170, "Factors to be considered by the Board", RCW 36.93.180, "Objectives of the Boundary Review Board" and RCW 36.70A, the Growth Management Act.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

The incorporation area as modified by the Boundary Review Board is legally described in Appendix A.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, a notice of the proposed incorporation was filed with the County Commissioners on February 24, 2000 together with a one hundred dollar filing fee and an affidavit from Ludlow Kramer, the person submitting the notice stating that he is a registered voter in the incorporation area;

WHEREAS, on February 29, 2000, the County Commissioners adopted Resolution 00-0194 forwarding the Notice of Incorporation to the Boundary Review Board;

WHEREAS, the Boundary Review Board scheduled a public meeting on Monday, March 20, 2000 at the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District Administration Building, 22510 East Mission Avenue in Liberty Lake to allow persons favoring and opposing the proposed incorporation an opportunity to state their views.

WHEREAS, approximately 60 persons attended the meeting, public comments and questions were asked and discussed, and the following persons were asked to give presentations:

- Susan Winchell, Director, Boundary Review Board, described the incorporation process;
- Shaun Brown, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee, proponent for the incorporation, described the basis for the proposal;

WHEREAS, the following persons addressed the Board:

- Hap Miller, 22812 East Clearwater Lane, Liberty Lake
- Ludlow Kramer, 23004 East Mocha Lane, Liberty Lake
- Gary Mitchell, 23905 East Sinto, Liberty Lake
- Wendy Van Orman, 23308 East Sharp, Liberty Lake
- Jim Frank, 23328 East Inlet, Liberty Lake

WHEREAS, a petition for incorporation of a non-charter code city with a mayor/council form of government and an estimated population of 3,500 to be called the City of Liberty Lake was circulated and submitted to the County Auditor on April 5, 2000;

WHEREAS, on April 11, 2000, the County Auditor notified the Board of County Commissioners that the petition was sufficient;

WHEREAS, a Notice of Intention was filed by the Boundary Review Board Planner on May 1, 2000 in File No. 546-00: Proposed Incorporation of the City of Liberty Lake and pursuant to RCW 36.93.153, the jurisdiction of the Board was invoked;

WHEREAS, on May 2, 2000, affected agencies and interested parties were sent copies of the Notice of Intention to review;

WHEREAS, the Boundary Review Board set a hearing date for Monday, July 24, 2000 at 7:00 PM at the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District Administration Building, 22510 East Mission Avenue in Liberty Lake with continuance to the next evening if necessary;

WHEREAS, the Board directed its Planner to advertise for the hearing and include adjacent areas that may be added in any modifications to the proposal;

WHEREAS, public notice procedures pursuant to RCW 36.93.160 (1) were carried out: notice to affected jurisdictions and interested parties thirty days prior to the public hearing (June 22, 2000), publication of legal notices in the Spokesman Review (June 18, 2000; July 9, 2000; July 16, 2000) three times prior but not later than five days before the hearing and posting of notices (July 18, 2000) in at least ten places in the proposed area and other public places;

WHEREAS, prior to the public hearing, the Board received the following exhibits:

Exhibit No. 1: Letter dated April 13, 2000 from the Liberty Lake 2000 Committee agreeing to an extension of the 120-day time limit for the Board decision.

Exhibit No. 2: Letter dated June 8, 2000 from Pat Humphries, Chief, Spokane Valley Fire Department, Spokane County Fire Protection District No. 1, providing information for the fiscal and service delivery analysis being prepared for the Liberty Lake incorporation.

Exhibit No. 3: Letter dated June 9, 2000 from Michael Wirt, Director, Spokane County Library District providing information for the fiscal and service delivery analysis being prepared for the Liberty Lake incorporation.

Exhibit No. 4: Memo dated June 19, 2000 from Burel Schulz, Undersheriff, Spokane County Sheriff's Department, providing two cost options for police protection to the proposed City of Liberty Lake: 1) cost of existing service; and 2) cost of one officer assigned on a 24-hour basis.

Exhibit No. 5: Letter dated June 30, 2000 from Shaun Brown, Chair, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee, requesting that the Board modify the incorporation proposal to include approximately 400 acres.

Exhibit No. 6: Letter dated July 21, 2000 from Scott Kuhta, Planner, Spokane County Planning Division, commenting on the incorporation proposal's consistency with the Countywide Planning Policies and the Growth Management Act.

WHEREAS, the Board held a special meeting on July 13, 2000 which was advertised pursuant to RCW 42.30.080 to inspect the proposed incorporation area;

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held on July 24, 2000 at 7:00 PM at the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District Administration Building, 22510 East Mission Avenue in Liberty Lake, all proceedings were recorded, approximately 80 were in attendance and all Board members were present;

WHEREAS, the Board Planner administered an oath to those planning to testify, gave an overview of the proposal and the incorporation process and introduced Peter Fortin, consultant to the Board on the fiscal and service delivery analysis of the incorporation, and Michael Basinger, project planner;

WHEREAS, Mr. Basinger presented to the Boundary Review Board a physical description of the area, land uses, planning areas, service provider districts, and growth projections for the area;

WHEREAS, Mr. Fortin presented to the Boundary Review Board the fiscal impacts of the proposal and the effects on other governmental units;

WHEREAS, the Board Planner then introduced the proponents of the proposal: Shaun Brown, Chair, Liberty lake 2000 Committee, who gave a presentation on the merits of the proposal;

WHEREAS, affected government agency representatives then testified: Nancy Hill, Director, Spokane Animal Control Services; and Mark Sterk, Spokane County Sheriff;

WHEREAS, the following persons gave testimony supporting the incorporation:

- Jim Frank, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee and President of the MeadowWood Homeowners' Association, 23328 East Inlet, Liberty Lake
- Hap Miller, President, Liberty Lake Estates Condominium Association, 22912 E. Clearwater, Liberty Lake
- Lud Kramer, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee, 705 W. Garry, Liberty Lake
- Wendy Van Orman, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee, 23308 E. Sharp, Liberty Lake
- Craig Savage, President of the Liberty Lake Vistas Homeowners' Association, 809 N. Swing Street, Liberty Lake
- Gary Mitchell, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee, 23905 East Sinto, Liberty Lake
- Anne Long, 1004 North Knudsen Court, Liberty Lake
- Scott Bernhard, 23225 East Boone, Liberty Lake
- Brian Sayrs, Liberty Lake 2000 Committee, 1011 North Malvern, Liberty Lake
- Randy Long, 1004 North Knudsen Court, Liberty Lake
- Mike Schrader, 23324 Inlet Drive, Liberty Lake;

WHEREAS, the following persons gave testimony in opposition to the incorporation:

- Greg Tichy, 1415 Lilac Lane, Liberty Lake
- Tom Agnew, 1220 South Starr, Liberty Lake;

WHEREAS, the following exhibits were received at the public hearing:

Exhibit No. 7: Cost estimate submitted on July 24, 2000 by Nancy Hill, Director, Spokane County Animal Control, amending the previous estimate by reducing animal license fees collected to forty percent of animals in Liberty Lake.

Exhibit No. 8: Cost estimate from Mark Sterk, Spokane County Sheriff, of providing police protection to the proposed City of Liberty Lake if the new city were to set up its own police department.

Exhibit No. 9: Speech presented by Gary Mitchell, resident of Liberty Lake, 23905 E. Sinto, supporting the incorporation proposal because higher land use development standards voluntarily set for current development in Liberty Lake could be continued.

WHEREAS, the Boundary Review Board closed the public hearing and set a time to deliberate and make a decision on the proposal at its next regular meeting and permitted the public record to remain open for written exhibits until Friday, July 28, 2000.

WHEREAS, the following exhibits were received prior to July 28, 2000 and included in the public record:

Exhibit No. 10: Letter dated July 25, 2000 from Pat Humphries, Chief, Spokane Valley Fire Department, Spokane County Fire Protection District No. 1 with Resolution No. 2000-168 dated June 22, 2000 attached which establishes the policy for the District in regards to the proposed incorporation of the City of Liberty Lake.

Exhibit No. 11: Memo dated July 27, 2000 from Scott Kuhta, Planner, Spokane County Planning Division, stating that the new city would set its own zoning and density requirements which clarified one speaker's statement to the contrary.

Exhibit No. 12: Letter dated July 26, 2000 from Mark Sterk, Spokane County Sheriff, clarifying previous handout (Exhibit No. 8) and elaborating on the costs involved in providing police protection to the proposed City of Liberty Lake.

Exhibit No. 13: Letter with map dated July 27, 2000 from Wayne D. Anderson, President and General Manager, Inland Empire Paper Company, stating that the land owned by Inland Empire Paper Company should be included in the incorporation proposal if an incorporation is approved for Liberty Lake.

Exhibit No. 14: Letter dated July 28, 2000 from James Frank, President, Meadows Owners Association, strongly supporting the incorporation of Liberty Lake.

Exhibit No. 15: Letter dated July 28, 2000 from Stephanie Dela Rosa, Executive Director, Stepping Stone Child Development Center, supporting incorporation to insure that municipal and community services keep pace with the rate of growth.

Exhibit No. 16: Letter dated July 28, 2000 from Jason Wheaton, President, Greenstone Corporation, supporting the incorporation because a city could be more effective in providing needed urban services and also supporting the inclusion of the area north of the freeway.

Exhibit No. 17: Letter dated July 28, 2000 from Jim Frank urging the Board to approve the incorporation and modification area to preserve the identifiable community and provide a natural and effective boundary.

WHEREAS, a special meeting to deliberate and make a decision on the proposal was set for Monday, July 31, 2000 at 7:00 PM at the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District Administration Building, 22510 East Mission Avenue in Liberty Lake;

WHEREAS, the Boundary Review Board considered all testimony and exhibits, adopted plans and policies of the Growth Management Act, considered each factor (RCW 36.93.170), and determined which objectives were met or not met by the proposal (RCW 36.93.180) and voted five (5) in favor and none (0) against to approve and modify the proposal.

WHEREAS, the Boundary Review Board is entering its written decision concerning this matter, pursuant to RCW 36.93.160 (4), and is adopting and filing its decision with the Board of County Commissioners and the Clerk of each governmental unit directly affected on or before September 7, 2000.

FINDINGS

Pursuant to RCW 36.93.150 (2), the Washington State Boundary Review Board for Spokane County approved and modified BRB 546-00: Proposed Incorporation of the City of Liberty Lake. This action is based upon the following findings pursuant to RCW 36.93.170, "Factors to be considered by the Board", RCW 36.93.180, "Objectives of the Boundary Review Board", and RCW 36.70A, "Growth Management Act".

FACTORS (RCW 36.93.170)

The Boundary Review Board considered all of the factors identified in RCW 36.93.170 which include, but are not limited to the following:

Population and Territory

Population density

The estimated current population for the proposed City of Liberty Lake is 3,265 people and includes 4.0 square miles. At 2.5 people per household, the population density for the new city as proposed is 816 people per square miles. The population density for the new city of Liberty Lake as modified at build-out would be 2,111 persons per square mile.

The Board considered this an important factor in its decision to approve and modify the proposal. Board members agreed that at present the population density was low, but noted that the land area included three golf courses and a large amount of developed industrial land.

Land area and uses

The Liberty Lake incorporation area contains single and multi-family dwellings; recreational areas; light manufacturing; commercial, including many retail stores; professional office

buildings; business parks; schools; and undeveloped land suitable for residential, commercial and industrial growth.

The Board considered the urban nature of the land uses and modified the boundaries to include additional land designated for urban uses.

Comprehensive plans and zoning

The proposed incorporation area is within the Interim Urban Growth Area as designated by the Board of County Commissioners on April 8, 1997. Within the boundary of the proposed incorporation area, the Spokane County Comprehensive Land Use Plan designations include Urban, Commercial, Industrial, Suburban, Rural and Semi-Rural. Zoning classifications within the area, and described by the Spokane County Zoning Code, vary from intense (Light Industrial (I-2) and Regional Business (B-3)) to the less intense (Suburban Residential (SR-1)) designations.

The Board considered this an important factor in its decision and modified the proposal to include land designated within the Urban Growth Area and designated Urban in the County's Comprehensive Plan.

Service and interlocal agreements

As a part of the comprehensive plan that a new city of Liberty Lake would prepare is the establishment of service agreements with the affected special purpose districts (Fire District No. 1, Spokane County Library District, and Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District) and Spokane County. These agreements can include policies for the continuation of services, annexation, County planning and zoning in its Urban Growth Area, and revenue sharing.

Per capita assessed valuation

The proposed city has an estimated 2000 assessed value of real property of \$320,884,510 and a taxable assessed value of \$278,087,510. Using a 2000 population of 3,265 people, the estimated per capita taxable assessed value is \$85,172.

The Board considered this a significant amount for a new city and considered this in its decision.

Topography, natural boundaries and drainage basins, proximity to other populated areas

There are two distinct types of topography in the Liberty Lake area: the large flat area where most of Liberty Lake's development has occurred and the mountains that surround Liberty Lake on the east and west sides. A major portion of the proposed city is over the Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer.

The proposed City of Liberty Lake lies 17 miles east of the City of Spokane which is the County's largest urban area and is the second largest city in Washington with a 2000 population of 189,200. The Spokane Valley is a large unincorporated urban area with a population of approximately 90,000, which adjoins the Liberty Lake community.

The Board considered this factor and modified the incorporation to use the natural boundary of the Spokane River.

The existence and preservation of prime agricultural soils and productive agricultural uses

Within the proposed incorporation boundary are four soils considered to be prime for farming by the Natural Resource Conservation District. They are representative of the Garrison, Hardest, Clayton, and Phoebe Series. The soils are well-drained, generally good fertility, with low levels of erosion. There are no productive agricultural uses in the area proposed for incorporation.

The likelihood of significant growth in the area and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas during the next ten years

The area proposed for incorporation as the City of Liberty Lake has been the fastest growing area in Spokane County for the past ten years and County planners expect this area to continue to lead the County in growth for the next ten years. A growth rate of 20% percent was projected for the modified incorporation area from 2000 through 2010.

The Board considered this an important factor in its decision. Testimony from residents indicated that a new city could more adequately provide an urban level of services to such a fast-growing area.

Location and most desirable future location of community facilities

Community facilities within the incorporation area include recreation facilities such as parks and golf courses, public service facilities such as a transit station, post office, fire station, an elementary school and churches. At present, a pedestrian bridge, trails, and a middle school are planned for the area.

Municipal Services

Need for municipal services

Several public agencies and private companies provide services to the proposed incorporation area. Within general government, Spokane County provides the legislative, administrative, budget and support services and planning department. The County also provides judicial and legal services including the district courts, superior courts, prosecutor's office, and public defender's office. The public safety function of Spokane County includes the Sheriff's department, corrections, and jail. Other departments within the County include long range and current planning; parks, recreation and fairgrounds; building and safety administration; wastewater management; solid waste management; stormwater management; small animal control; noxious weed control; geographic information services; public works including transportation; and community development.

In addition to the services provided by county, federal and state agencies, there are several local-taxing districts that have jurisdiction within this area at the present time. These governmental units are Spokane County Fire District No. 1; Central Valley School District No. 363; Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District; and the Spokane County Library District.

The Board considered this factor and determined that the existence of sewers in the new city was key. The financial analysis described that it would be fiscally feasible for the new city to provide the same level of services and even improve the level in some cases.

Effect of ordinances, governmental codes, regulations and resolutions on existing services

Initially the new city could contract for much of the existing level of service by policy or ordinance. If that were the case, there would be no effect on the present level of service to the area. Eventually, if residents desire a higher level of service, contracts could be amended or the new city could provide the service itself.

Growth management plans and policies currently in effect when the new city incorporates would have to be followed by the new city. The new city would be required to prepare its own comprehensive plan consistent with the adopted Countywide Planning Policies.

Present cost and adequacy of governmental services and controls in the area

Residents have stated that an urban level of services, more control over land use decisions, and protection of the Liberty Lake watershed were reasons for the incorporation effort. The County is providing an adequate level of services at present based upon county-wide levels of service. For some services such as police protection, this may not coincide with the residents proposal for an urban level of service.

The Board acknowledged the proponents concern over local control of services and considered this factor essential in making its decision.

Prospects of governmental services from other sources

The incorporation will provide some new services to the area when the new city takes over general government functions. Those services initially will be legislative, administrative, planning, legal, and finance. Other services may be contracted with Spokane County, special purpose districts, or private enterprise. Many of these decisions will be made by the new city council after incorporation.

Probable future needs for such services and controls

It is anticipated that as the new city matures it may want to assume some of the services initially contracted. Liberty Lake's future needs will depend on the demand for a higher level of service or desire to have greater control over municipal services of the city. Services and controls required for the new city have been mentioned in previous sections.

Probable effect of proposal on cost and adequacy of services and controls in the area and adjacent areas

There are some impacts of the incorporation of Liberty Lake that could affect the cost and adequacy of services in the incorporation area and adjacent areas. If the new city annexes to or contracts with the existing service providers as proposed, impacts on costs and service delivery will be minimal.

The effect on the finances, debt structure, and contractual obligations and rights of all affected governmental units

The fiscal analysis addressed the finances, debt structure, potential new debt and the financial impact on other governmental units. State laws set forth the rights of affected governments operating in the area upon incorporation and the rights of the new city to provide contracts for services.

The effect of the proposal on adjacent areas, on mutual economic and social interests, and on the local government structure of the county

The 2000 General Fund Budget for Spokane County is \$122,801,615. The proposed Liberty Lake incorporation will reduce revenues to Spokane County by \$892,362 and provide \$627,581 in new contracts for the County leaving a net loss to the General Fund of \$264,981 or less than one percent of the General Fund.

The Board considered this factor and noted that there was no testimony to suggest a negative impact on Spokane County. The Board also noted that the impact on the Spokane County budget was limited.

OBJECTIVES (RCW 36.93.180)

Preservation of natural neighborhoods and communities

Within the proposed incorporation area, there are several distinct neighborhoods: the Meadows; Meadowwood Glen; Meadowwood Greens; Meadowwood Estates; the Village; the Vistas; Homestead Cottages; Homestead Gardens; and Homestead Garden Ridge. Approved new residential areas are the Highlands and additional sections of Meadowwood. In addition, there are high density residential communities such as the Big Trout Lodge Apartment complex. The boundaries proposed for the new city include each of these neighborhoods in its entirety.

The community of Liberty Lake has proposed a two-stage process that would lead to the eventual uniting of the entire community within the boundaries of the new city. The first stage involves incorporation of the area within the IUGA and the second stage would involve the eventual annexation of the area around the Lake outside of the IUGA. Residents felt that the two-stage process would allow the natural neighborhoods to be preserved with incorporation, the entire community to be preserved with eventual annexation, and, at the same time, provide a process that assures protection of the Lake watershed.

The Board questioned that using Sprague Avenue as the southern boundary would divide a natural community; however, the Board decided that the community could develop its own Urban Growth Area and include the area south of Sprague at a later date. The Board felt that this would return the decision-making process to the residents.

The Board ruled that as modified, the proposal would better meet this objective (RCW 36.93.180 (1)).

Use of physical boundaries, including but not limited to bodies of water, highways and land contours

The proposed incorporation area uses the boundaries of the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District with the Interim Urban Growth Area as the southern boundary. Boundaries on the north follow Interstate 90, section lines, and Harvard Road. The western boundary uses section lines. Southern boundaries follow Sprague Avenue and section lines. The western boundary uses Simpson Road and section lines.

The 380-acre modification area uses the Spokane River as the northern boundary and the western boundary of the Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District service area as the western boundary. Another small modification of 19 acres eliminates a small triangle-shaped piece and uses Sprague Avenue as the southern boundary.

The Board ruled that if modified by including the 380-acre area between the Interstate and the River and by adding the 19-acre area south of Henry Road, this objective was met (RCW 36.93.180 (2)).

Creation and preservation of logical service areas

The new city will annex to Fire District No. 1 immediately upon incorporation which will maintain fire service by the District. Within the first year of operation the voters in the new city can decide to remain a part of Fire District No. 1. Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District will continue its same service area and the new city could annex to or contract with Spokane County Library District. If the new city contracts with Spokane County for services, the service area for the Spokane County Sheriff, and Roads Departments will remain the same.

The Board ruled that as modified, this objective would be met by the proposal (RCW 36.93.180 (3)).

Prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries

The Board included a 380-acre modification to the original incorporation to include the area north to the Spokane River and a small 19-acre triangle-shaped piece along Sprague to eliminate irregularities in the boundaries and provide for a clearer boundary for service delivery.

The Board determined that by modifying the boundaries this objective would be better met (RCW 36.93.180 (4)).

Discouragement of multiple incorporations of small cities and encouragement of incorporation of cities in excess of ten thousand population in heavily populated urban areas

The population for the proposed City of Liberty Lake is currently 3,265 with a ten-year growth rate to 9,753 within the modified boundaries. With commercial and office space also growing, proponents felt that it was important to put in place the governance structure that will allow the community to effectively meet urban service needs as it grows.

A related factor for this objective is the ability for the city to generate sufficient revenue to provide an urban level of services to residents and fulfill the statutory obligations of a city

and the Board determined that based upon the revenue analysis prepared that the new city, obligations would be met.

The Board noted that Liberty Lake is an isolated urban area and determined that modifying the proposal meets this objective (RCW 36.93.180 (5)).

Dissolution of inactive special purpose districts

The Board determined that this objective did not apply.

Adjustment of impractical boundaries

The Board determined that this objective did not apply.

Incorporation as cities or towns or annexation to cities or towns of unincorporated areas which are urban in character

Urban character is defined not only by absolute numbers of people but also by population distribution and density, land uses, and availability of services. The Liberty Lake community contains commercial and industrial land uses and residential densities of 3.5 units per acre or greater. Approximately 60 percent of the land included can be considered urban by this definition and the rest of the area has been approved for urban development. The incorporation area is designated Urban on the County's Comprehensive Plan and is within its Interim Urban Growth Area.

The Board determined that modifying the proposal meets this objective (RCW 36.93.180 (8)).

Protection of agricultural and rural lands which are designated for long term productive agricultural and resource use by a comprehensive plan adopted by the county legislative authority

There are no designated agricultural lands within the proposed incorporation boundary or proposed modification area.

The Board determined that this objective did not apply.

**CONSISTENCY WITH THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT
(RCW 36.70A)**

Decisions of the Boundary Review Board are required to be consistent with the planning goals, plans and policies of the Growth Management Act. In December 1994, the County adopted the policies to be used as a framework for each city's and the County's comprehensive plan. In April of 1997, the County adopted an Interim Urban Growth Area (IUGA) and Interim Development Regulations.

Applicable to this proposal is the location of the IUGA. After, the Spokane County Board of County Commissioners held public hearings and heard public testimony from residents in the Liberty Lake community, it decided to exclude the area surrounding Liberty Lake and south of Sprague Avenue from the IUGA. The Commissioners weighed the fact that the

area is fully developed at urban densities and receives both public water and sewer service with the concerns of the residents that the inclusion of the Lake would increase development densities and adversely affect the Liberty Lake watershed.

The incorporation proposal submitted encompasses only the area designated within the County's IUGA. In the event that the new city forms and develops its own comprehensive plan, the area south of Sprague Avenue can be proposed to be included in the new city's Urban Growth Area and would then be eligible for annexation to the new city.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE WASHINGTON STATE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD FOR SPOKANE COUNTY that based upon the record, testimony and exhibits in File No. 546-00 and the above findings and conclusions, is hereby approving and modifying the proposed incorporation.

ADOPTED BY THE WASHINGTON STATE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD FOR SPOKANE COUNTY by a vote of ____ in favor and ____ against on this 28th day of August, 2000, and signed by me in authentication of its adoption on said date.

WASHINGTON STATE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD FOR SPOKANE COUNTY

Robert Nebergall, Chair

ATTESTED TO and filed by me on this ____ day of August, 2000.

Susan M. Winchell, AICP, Planner